

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
TO DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT**

SH 71 TOLL LANES PROJECT

THROUGH A DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACT

**VOLUME I
INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS**

**A PROJECT OF THE
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**ISSUED MARCH 5, 2014
ADDENDUM NO. 1 ISSUED APRIL 4, 2014
ADDENDUM NO. 2 ISSUED APRIL 29, 2014
ADDENDUM NO. 3 ISSUED MAY 7, 2014
ADDENDUM NO. 4 ISSUED MAY 12, 2014**

**Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street – Fifth Floor
Austin, Texas 78701**

CERTAIN KEY DATES

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>
Issue Industry Review Request for Proposals	January 31, 2014
Issue Final Request for Proposals	March 5, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 1 to Final Request for Proposals	April 4, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 2 to Final Request for Proposals	April 29, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 3 to Final Request for Proposals	May 7, 2012
Issue Addendum No. 4 to Final Request for Proposals	May 12, 2014
Proposal Due Date	May 21, 2014
DBA Conditionally Awarded (anticipated)	June 26, 2014
DBA Executed and Delivered (anticipated)	August 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Section 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PROVISIONS	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Project Goals	1
1.3 General Project Description and Scope of DB Contractor’s Obligations.....	2
1.3.1 General Project Description	2
1.3.2 Scope of DB Contractor’s Obligations	2
1.3.3 Project Environmental Status	2
1.3.4 Status of Required Right of Way Acquisition.....	3
1.3.5 Status of Required Utility Work	3
1.3.6 Status of Design Schematics	3
1.4 Documents in the Request for Proposals	3
1.5 Procurement Schedule	4
1.6 General Provisions Regarding Proposals.....	5
1.6.1 Proposal Contents.....	5
1.6.2 Inclusion of Proposal in DBA Documents.....	6
1.6.3 Ownership of Proposal and Applicability of Public Information Act.....	6
1.7 Project Costs, Funding and Financing.....	6
1.8 Federal Requirements	6
1.8.1 General Obligations	6
1.8.2 DBE Requirements	6
Section 2.0 PROCUREMENT PROCESS	7
2.1 Procurement Method	7
2.2 Communications and Other Information	8
2.2.1 Authorized Representative	8
2.2.2 Rules of Contact.....	8
2.3 Questions and Response Process, and Addenda	10
2.3.1 Questions and Responses Regarding the RFP.....	10
2.3.2 Ombudsman.....	11
2.3.3 Addenda.....	11
2.4 Pre-Proposal Meetings	12

2.4.1	Informational Meetings	12
2.4.2	One-on-One Meetings.....	12
2.4.3	Questions and Responses During One-on-One Meetings	12
2.5	Confidentiality/Public Information Act Disclosure Requests	12
2.5.1	Confidentiality Rules	12
2.5.2	Observers During Evaluation	12
2.5.3	Public Disclosure of Proposal Documents	13
2.6	TxDOT Studies and Investigations	13
2.7	Site Access.....	14
2.8	Errors.....	14
2.9	Improper Conduct.....	14
2.9.1	Non-Collusion.....	14
2.9.2	Organizational Conflicts of Interest	15
2.10	Changes in Proposer’s Organization	15
2.11	Changes in Key Personnel and Designation of New Key Personnel.....	15
2.12	Sales Tax	16
Section 3.0	ALTERNATIVE TECHNICAL CONCEPTS.....	16
3.1	Alternative Technical Concepts	16
3.2	Pre-Proposal Submission of ATCs	17
3.3	TxDOT Review of Pre-Proposal Submission of ATCs.....	18
3.4	Incorporation of ATCs in the DBA Documents.....	19
3.5	Confidentiality	20
Section 4.0	REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROPOSALS AND ACCEPTANCE OF DELIVERY BY TXDOT	20
4.1	General Submittal Requirements.....	20
4.1.1	Proposal Due Date.....	20
4.1.2	Signatures Required	20
4.1.3	Requirement to Submit Compliant Proposal	20
4.1.4	Format.....	21
4.1.5	Additional Requirements for Proposal Delivery	21
4.2	Technical Proposal	21
4.2.1	General	21
4.2.2	Proposal Security	22
4.3	Financial Proposal	22

4.3.1	Pricing Information	22
4.3.2	Financial Capacity Information	22
4.4	Withdrawal and Validity of Proposals	23
4.5	Forfeiture of Proposal Security	23
Section 5.0	EVALUATION AND POST-SELECTION PROCESS.....	23
5.1	Best Value Determination.....	23
5.2	Pass/Fail and Responsiveness Evaluation.....	24
5.2.1	Technical Proposals.....	24
5.2.2	Financial Proposals.....	25
5.2.3	TxDOT Right to Exclude Proposals from Consideration or to Waive Mistakes	25
5.3	Evaluation of Project Development Plan by DPES.....	26
5.3.1	Project Development Plan Evaluation Factors	26
5.3.2	Evaluation Guidelines	28
5.3.3	Technical Score	28
5.4	Evaluation of Financial Proposal by FPES	29
5.4.1	Price Value.....	29
5.5	ESRC Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals	29
5.6	Requests for Proposal Revisions.....	30
5.7	Requests for Clarification	30
5.8	Identification of Apparent Best Value Proposal.....	30
5.9	Recommendation to Commission.....	31
5.10	Finalization of the DBA Documents; Post-Selection Process	31
5.10.1	Negotiation of DBA Documents.....	31
5.11	Post-Selection Deliverables.....	32
5.11.1	Project Management Plan.....	32
5.11.2	Documents To Be Submitted Following Conditional Award	32
5.11.3	Escrowed Proposal Documents	33
Section 6.0	FINAL AWARD AND EXECUTION; POST-EXECUTION ACTIONS	33
6.1	Final Award, Execution and Delivery of DBA.....	33
6.1.1	Documents To Be Delivered By Proposer With Executed DBA	34
6.2	Debriefings	35
6.3	Payment to Unsuccessful Proposers.....	35

Section 7.0	PROTESTS	37
Section 8.0	TXDOT RIGHTS AND DISCLAIMERS	37

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A	Definitions and Acronyms
Exhibit B	Technical Proposal Instructions
Exhibit C	Financial Proposal Instructions
Exhibit D	Required Forms
	Form A Proposal Letter
	Form B-1 Identification of Proposer and Equity Members
	Form B-2 Information about Proposer Organization
	Form B-3 Information about Major Participants, Major Professional Services Firms and Identified Subcontractors
	Form C Responsible Proposer Questionnaire
	Form D Key Subcontractors
	Form E Personnel Work Assignment Form
	Form F Non-Collusion Affidavit
	Form G Buy America Certification
	Form H DBE Certification
	Form I Child Support Statement for State Grants, Loans and Contracts
	Form J Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement
	Form K Proposal Bond
	Form L Opinion of Counsel
	Form M-1 Design-Build Price
	Form M-1.1 Design-Build Price Breakdown
	Form M-1.2 ATC Cost Adjustment
	Form M-2 DB Contractor Cash Flow Adjustment Table / Maximum Payment Schedule
	Form O Substantial Completion Commitments
	Form P Equal Employment Opportunity Certification
	Form Q Certification Regarding Use of Contract Funds for Lobbying
	Form R Certification Regarding Ineligible Contractors
	Form S Guarantor Commitment Letter
Exhibit E	Summary and Order of Proposal Contents
Exhibit F	Right of Entry Process
Exhibit G	Minute Order
Exhibit H	Form of Payment for Work Product Agreement
Exhibit I	Form of ATC Proposal

INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS
(Request for Proposals: SH 71 Toll Lanes Project)

SECTION 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1 Introduction

This Request for Proposals (“RFP”), as may be amended, is issued by the Texas Department of Transportation (“TxDOT”), an agency of the State of Texas, to seek competitive detailed proposals (individually, a “Proposal” and collectively, “Proposals”) for a design-build contract that will consist of a Design-Build Agreement (“DBA”) and related documents. The DBA shall provide that the successful Proposer (“Design-Build Contractor” or “DB Contractor”) shall design and construct the SH 71 Toll Lanes Project (the “Project”) as further described below. The form of DBA is included in Volume II of the RFP.

TxDOT is issuing the RFP to those Proposers shortlisted based on TxDOT’s evaluation of Qualification Statements (“QSs”) delivered to TxDOT on December 13, 2013 in response to the Request for Qualifications for the Project issued on November 15, 2013, as amended (the “RFQ”).

Proposers must comply with these Instructions to Proposers (“ITP”) during the procurement and in their responses to the RFP. Proposers shall also take the Project goals identified in Section 1.2 below into consideration in drafting their Proposals.

The RFP requires each Proposer to be prepared to act as the DB Contractor for the Project if the Proposer is selected.

All forms identified in this ITP are found in Exhibit D unless otherwise noted. All times in this ITP are Central Standard Time (CST) or Central Daylight Savings Time (CDT), as applicable. Terms and acronyms not otherwise defined herein are defined in Exhibit A of this ITP or Exhibit 1 of the DBA.

1.2 Project Goals

TxDOT’s goals for the Project are as follows:

- (a) Maintaining mobility through the Project area during construction of the Project while minimizing negative impacts to the public, business, communities and adjacent property owners through effective cooperation and coordination;
- (b) Improving mobility within the Project area after the construction period;
- (c) Environmental sensitivity;

(d) Securing quality design and construction services meeting or exceeding TxDOT technical requirements at fair and competitive prices to optimize the operational life cycle performance of the Project;

(e) Safe construction;

(f) Expediting delivery of Project improvements;

(g) Facilitating participation by DBEs, women-owned business enterprises and minority business enterprises, consistent with the DBA Documents and applicable Laws; and

(h) Cooperating and coordinating with TxDOT in the design and construction of the Project.

1.3 General Project Description and Scope of DB Contractor's Obligations

1.3.1 General Project Description

The Project includes the design and construction of SH 71 toll lanes from Presidential Boulevard to a point just east of SH 130 in Austin, along with the realignment of FM 973 from just south of the Colorado River to a point approximately 0.5 mile south of the current SH 71/FM 973 intersection, but excluding the Authority Improvements that the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Authority") will provide pursuant to Section 24 of the Technical Provisions (the "Project"). The purpose of the project is to add express toll lanes and realign FM 973 through the project limits. TxDOT has concluded that harnessing private-sector creativity through a design-build approach is the best way to ensure cost-effective and expedited delivery of this Project and provide needed safety improvements, congestion relief and economic development benefits to the public.

1.3.2 Scope of DB Contractor's Obligations

DB Contractor's obligations will generally include all efforts required to develop, design and construct the Project in accordance with the requirements of the DBA Documents. Work under the DBA will proceed as authorized by notices to proceed ("NTPs") issued by TxDOT. NTP1 will authorize DB Contractor to perform certain work related to the Project Management Plan and certain other activities pertaining to the Project. NTP2 will authorize DB Contractor to proceed with the remaining Work. Additionally, the DB Contractor will be required under the DBA to warrant the work for two years after Final Acceptance and to warrant that certain elements of the Project will meet specified performance criteria for up to five years after Final Acceptance.

1.3.3 Project Environmental Status

TxDOT is developing an environmental assessment for the Project. An open house was held on August 13, 2013 to present the proposed Project to the public. The environmental assessment was deemed "Satisfactory for Further Processing" by FHWA

and issued on February 25, 2014, allowing for a public hearing to be conducted on April 1, 2014. A final decision regarding the environmental assessment is anticipated in May 2014. TxDOT currently anticipates that the DBA will be conditionally awarded after the final decision regarding the environmental assessment is obtained. However, in the event that final decision regarding the environmental assessment is delayed, TxDOT may, alternatively, (i) delay the conditional award of the DBA until after that final decision is obtained, or (ii) modify the ITP and/or DBA as required by the applicable federal regulations and then conditionally award the DBA prior to receiving the final decision regarding the environmental assessment. In the event that the conclusion of the NEPA process results in changes from the draft environmental assessment, the successful Proposer shall be entitled to seek recourse in accordance with Section 6.7.1 of the DBA. TxDOT will not make a commitment as to any alternative under evaluation in the environmental assessment process, including the no-build alternative, prior to conclusion of the environmental assessment process.

1.3.4 Status of Required Right of Way Acquisition

TxDOT does not anticipate the need for ROW acquisition services from the DB Contractor for this Project.

1.3.5 Status of Required Utility Work

The DBA will require DB Contractor to be responsible for performing or causing to be performed necessary utility relocations/adjustments in accordance with applicable standards and, with limited exceptions specified in the DBA, for the costs associated with utility relocations/adjustments, except to the extent the utilities are legally responsible for such costs.

TxDOT has performed various levels of subsurface utility engineering investigation (“SUE”) throughout the Project. SUE Quality Level B and SUE Quality Level A have been performed at select locations where possible and the SUE data is posted in the RID.

1.3.6 Status of Design Schematics

The preliminary project schematics for the Project were presented at an open house on August 13, 2013 and have been provided in the RIDs. A public hearing regarding the schematic is anticipated to be held in Spring 2014. Schematics are subject to change based on further developments resulting from technical review and public input.

1.4 Documents in the Request for Proposals

The RFP consists of the following volumes, and any other documents that may be issued by Addendum, as such documents may be amended and supplemented:

- (a) Volume I – this ITP (including exhibits and forms);
- (b) Volume II – the DBA Documents; and

(c) Volume III – the Reference Information Documents.

For order of precedence, refer to Section 1.2.1 of the DBA for DBA Documents. Reference Information Documents (RID) publically available as of the time of issuance of this RFP are posted to <https://portal.txspd.com/SH71AIR/main/rfp/default.aspx>, which is hyperlinked to Project Webpage. Additional Reference Information Documents may subsequently become available and will be distributed to Proposers via this hyperlink or, if confidential, via the RFP Webpage, as described further in Section 2.2 below.

The Reference Information Documents are included in the RFP for the purpose of providing information to Proposers that is in TxDOT's possession. TxDOT has not determined whether the Reference Information Documents are accurate, complete or pertinent, or of any value to the Proposers. The Reference Information Documents will not form a part of the contract between TxDOT and DB Contractor. Except as may be provided otherwise in the DBA Documents, TxDOT makes no representation, warranty or guarantee as to, and shall not be responsible for, the accuracy, completeness, or pertinence of the Reference Information Documents, and, in addition, shall not be responsible for any conclusions drawn therefrom.

1.5 Procurement Schedule

The following represents the current schedule for the procurement.

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE and TIME</u>
Issue Industry Review Request for Proposals	January 31, 2014
Issue Final Request for Proposals	March 5, 2014
One-on-one meetings with Proposers to discuss questions regarding the RFP	February 10, 2014, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.
Last date for Proposer submittal of initial questions regarding the RFP	February 20, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
One-on-one meetings with Proposers to discuss questions regarding the RFP	March 6, 2014
One-on-one meetings with Proposers to discuss the RFP (particularly the Technical Provisions) and ATCs	March 19-20, 2014 (Technical Provisions and ATCs)
Deadline for submittal of ATCs	April 1, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
Issue Addendum No. 1 to Final Request for Proposals	April 4, 2014
Last date for Proposer submittal of: (1) changes in organization; and (2) changes in Key Personnel.	April 18, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
Last date for TxDOT responses to ATCs	April 18, 2014
Last date for Proposer submittal of questions following release of Addendum No. 1	April 18, 2014, 12:00 p.m.

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE and TIME</u>
Last date for Proposers to request ATC Presentation Meeting and submit required agenda	April 21, 2014, 5:00 p.m.
Last date for TxDOT responses to: (1) changes in organization; and (2) changes in Key Personnel.	April 24, 2014
ATC Presentation Meeting (if requested)	April 25, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 2 to Final Request for Proposals	April 29, 2014
Last date for Proposer submittal of questions following release of Addendum No. 2	May 2, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
Last date for TxDOT responses to questions regarding Addendum No. 2	May 6, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 3 to Final Request for Proposals	May 7, 2014
Issue Addendum No. 4 to Final Request for Proposals	May 12, 2014
Last date for Proposer submittal of questions regarding Addendum No. 4	May 14, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
Last date for TxDOT responses to questions regarding Addendum No. 4	May 16, 2014
Proposal Due Date	May 21, 2014, 12:00 p.m.
Anticipated conditional award by Texas Transportation Commission	June 26, 2014
DBA Executed (anticipated)	August 2014

Where the RFP provides a deadline or due date for submission of documents, correspondence or other materials to TxDOT, the submission will only be considered timely if TxDOT receives the submission by the date and, if applicable, the time identified.

1.6 General Provisions Regarding Proposals

1.6.1 Proposal Contents

As used in this procurement, the term "Proposal" means a Proposer's complete response to the RFP, including a (a) Technical Proposal and (b) Financial Proposal. The instructions and requirements for the Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal are set forth in Exhibits B and C, respectively, and a checklist showing the required contents of the entire Proposal is found in Exhibit E. The Proposal shall be organized in the order listed in Exhibit E, and shall be clearly indexed. Any failure to provide all the information and all completed forms (Exhibit D) in the format specified or submittal of a Proposal subject to any reservations, qualifications, conditions or

assumptions may result in TxDOT's rejection of the Proposal or giving it a lower rating.

1.6.2 Inclusion of Proposal in DBA Documents

Portions of the successful Proposal will become part of the DBA Documents, as specified in the DBA. All other information is for evaluation purposes only and will not become part of the DBA Documents.

1.6.3 Ownership of Proposal and Applicability of Public Information Act

Subject to Section 6.3 and the exceptions specified herein, the "Rules" (defined below in Section 2.1) and in the Texas Transportation Code (the "Code"), all written and electronic correspondence, exhibits, photographs, reports, printed material, tapes, disks, designs, and other graphic and visual aids submitted to TxDOT during this procurement process, whether included in the Proposal or otherwise submitted, become the property of the State of Texas upon delivery to TxDOT, and will not be returned to the submitting parties. Consequently, all such items may be subject to Texas Government Code, Chapter 552 (the "Public Information Act" or the "Act"). Proposers should familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Act requiring disclosure of public information, and exceptions thereto. In no event shall the State of Texas, TxDOT, or any of their agents, representatives, consultants, directors, officers or employees be liable to a Proposer or Proposer team member for the disclosure of any materials or information submitted in response to the RFP. See also Section 2.6.

1.7 Project Costs, Funding and Financing

TxDOT's current total design-build estimate for the improvements to be developed as the Project is \$110 million. This estimate is inclusive of all costs to design and construct the Project (all costs under the DBA), and estimated costs reflect the currently developed schematic and environmentally studied project components at current construction prices.

TxDOT's plan of finance contemplates that TxDOT, using a combination of federal and State funds, will fund the design and construction of the Project. Payments will be made in accordance with the DBA Documents.

1.8 Federal Requirements

1.8.1 General Obligations

In order to preserve the ability of TxDOT to use federal funding for the Project; the procurement process, the DBA Documents must comply with applicable federal Laws.

1.8.2 DBE Requirements

TxDOT has determined that Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") requirements apply to the design and construction of the Project, and has adopted a DBE Program to provide DBEs opportunities to participate in the business activities of TxDOT as service

providers, vendors, contractors, subcontractors, advisors, and consultants. TxDOT has adopted the definition of DBE set forth in 49 CFR § 26.5. Proposer's DBE compliance obligations shall be governed by all applicable federal DBE regulations, including 49 CFR Part 26, as well as applicable requirements set forth in the DBA Documents and TxDOT's DBE Program document.

The DBE participation goal for the Project shall be 4% of the Price allocable to design work and 8% of the Price allocable to construction work. TxDOT's DBE requirements applicable to the DBA are set forth in Section 7.1 of the DBA, the DBE Special Provisions attached as Exhibit 6 to the DBA and TxDOT's DBE Program adopted pursuant to Title 49 CFR Part 26. As set forth in Section 3.2.9 of Exhibit B, each Proposer shall submit a certification concerning DBE requirements with its Proposal. Failure to provide the required DBE certification shall be considered a breach of the Proposal requirements and shall render a Proposal non-responsive.

Following conditional award of the DBA, the selected Proposer will be required to submit a detailed DBE Performance Plan describing the methods to be employed for achieving TxDOT's DBE participation goals for the Project, including Proposer's exercise of good faith efforts. Requirements for the DBE Performance Plan are set forth in the DBE Special Provisions, Exhibit 6 to the DBA. The DBE Performance Plan will be subject to review, comment and approval by TxDOT prior to and as a condition of final award of the DBA.

The selected Proposer will also be required to provide DBE commitments in the form required by TxDOT as DBE subcontractors are identified, in accordance with the DBE Special Provisions, the approved DBE Performance Plan, and TxDOT's DBE Program.

DB Contractor shall not cancel or terminate any subcontract with a DBE firm except in accordance with all requirements and provisions applicable to cancellation or termination of subcontracts with DBE firms set forth in the DBE Special Provisions in Exhibit 6 to the DBA.

SECTION 2.0 PROCUREMENT PROCESS

2.1 Procurement Method

The RFP is issued pursuant to Chapter 223, Subchapter F, of the Code and other applicable provisions of law pertaining to design-build contracts, Sections 9.150-9.155 of Title 43, Texas Administrative Code (the "Rules"), which became effective on March 15, 2012, and other applicable provisions of Texas and federal Law.

If an award will be made, TxDOT will award the DBA to the responsible Proposer offering a Proposal meeting the high standards set by TxDOT and which is determined by TxDOT to provide the best value to TxDOT and to be in the best interest of the State of Texas.

TxDOT will accept Proposals for the Project only from those Proposers TxDOT has shortlisted for the procurement based on their responses to the RFQ.

2.2 Communications and Other Information

2.2.1 Authorized Representative

TxDOT has designated the following individual to be its authorized representative for the procurement (the “Authorized Representative”):

Frank Holzmann, P.E.
Texas Department of Transportation
7600 Chevy Chase Drive, Building 2, Suite 400
Austin, TX 78752
E-mail: TxDOT-AUS-PPP-SH71@txdot.gov

From time to time during the procurement process or during the term of the DBA, TxDOT may designate another Authorized Representative or representatives to carry out some or all of TxDOT’s obligations pertaining to the Project.

2.2.2 Rules of Contact

From the date of issuance of the RFQ (November 15, 2013) until January 31, 2014, the rules of contact provisions in the RFQ were applicable to this procurement. Starting on January 31, 2014, the date the industry review package was issued, and ending on the earliest of (i) execution and delivery of the DBA, (ii) rejection of all Proposals by TxDOT or (iii) cancellation of the RFP, the rules of contact set forth below shall apply. These rules are designed to promote a fair and unbiased procurement process. Contact includes face-to-face, telephone, facsimile, electronic-mail (e-mail), social media, instant messages, texts, or formal written communication.

The specific rules of contact are as follows:

(a) No Proposer nor any of its team members may communicate with another Proposer or its team members with regard to the Project, the RFP, or either team’s Proposal, except that (i) subcontractors that are shared between two or more Proposer teams may communicate with their respective team members so long as those Proposers establish a protocol to ensure that the subcontractor will not act as a conduit of information between the teams and (ii) this prohibition does not apply to public discussions regarding the RFP at any TxDOT-sponsored informational meetings.

(b) Each Proposer shall designate one representative responsible for all communications between the Proposer and TxDOT (initially, the person identified in the QS as the sole point of contact), and such designated representative shall correspond with TxDOT regarding the RFP only through TxDOT’s Authorized Representative (except communications with TxDOT’s ombudsman as provided in Section 2.3.2 below). The TxDOT Authorized Representative may, from time to time, designate other persons to communicate with the Proposers on behalf of TxDOT.

(c) No Proposer or representative thereof shall have any communications regarding the RFP or the procurement described herein with any member of the Texas

Transportation Commission (“Commission”), Stakeholder or with any TxDOT staff, advisors, contractors or consultants involved with the procurement or the Project, except for communications with TxDOT consultants who have completed their services for the Project and been released by TxDOT, communications expressly permitted by the RFP or except as approved in advance by the Authorized Representative or the Chief Planning and Project Officer, in his/her sole discretion. The foregoing restriction shall not, however, preclude or restrict communications with regard to matters unrelated to the RFP or participation in public meetings of the Commission or any public or Proposer workshop related to the RFP.

(d) Proposers shall not contact any of the Stakeholders regarding the Project, including employees, representatives, members and consultants of the Stakeholders, except as specifically approved in advance by TxDOT in writing or as set forth in this Section 2.2.2(d). Notwithstanding the foregoing:

- (i) each Proposer may meet with the City of Austin -- in its role as municipal utility provider and only to the extent that its role as municipal utility provider may be relevant for the Project -- for the sole purpose of discussing utility issues in connection with the Project; provided that the Proposer shall provide written notice to TxDOT of the date, time, location and anticipated attendees of such meetings no later than three business days in advance of each such meeting; and provided, however, that the Proposer shall not discuss other Proposers or their proposals, negotiate exclusive arrangements to the detriment of other Proposers or otherwise seek an unfair competitive advantage; and
- (ii) each Proposer may contact private landowners along the Project corridor for the purpose of performing due diligence and discussing aspects of the Proposal, including temporary construction easement, staging areas and borrow; provided, however, that the Proposer shall not discuss other Proposers or their proposals, negotiate exclusive arrangements to the detriment of other Proposers or otherwise seek an unfair competitive advantage; and provided further that the Proposer shall not attempt to obtain from such landowners rights of entry during the procurement except as set forth in Section 2.7.

(e) Proposers shall not communicate with the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts.

(f) Any communications determined by TxDOT, in its sole discretion, to be improper may result in disqualification.

(g) Any official information regarding the Project will be disseminated from TxDOT’s office on Department letterhead, on the RFP Webpage or on the Project Webpage. Any official correspondence will be in writing, on TxDOT letterhead and signed by TxDOT’s Authorized Representative or designee.

(h) TxDOT will not be responsible for any oral exchange or any other information or exchange that occurs outside the official process specified herein.

2.3 Questions and Response Process, and Addenda

2.3.1 Questions and Responses Regarding the RFP

Proposers shall be responsible for reviewing the RFP and any Addenda issued by TxDOT prior to the Proposal Due Date, and for requesting written clarification or interpretation of any perceived mistake, discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error or omission contained therein, or of any provision which Proposer fails to understand. Proposers shall submit requests for written clarification in accordance with this Section 2.3.1. TxDOT will consider these clarification requests in drafting addenda, if any, to the DBA Documents. TxDOT also may elect, at its discretion, to respond to such clarification requests through written responses. Any written responses given by TxDOT will be for the information of the Proposers only, and will not become part of the DBA Documents. In its discretion, TxDOT may incorporate the substance of a response in this RFP, and as appropriate, may incorporate the substance of a response in the DBA Documents, by means of an Addendum to this RFP.

TxDOT will only consider comments or questions regarding the RFP, including requests for clarification and requests to correct errors, if submitted by a shortlisted Proposer to the Authorized Representative in writing via submission to the email address identified in Section 2.2.1. A confidential communication regarding the procurement process must be submitted to TxDOT's designated ombudsman by hard copy or electronic transmission in the format prescribed herein (see Section 2.3.2 for a description of the instances in which communications may be submitted to the ombudsman). Submissions may only be made by the Proposer's designated representative, and must include the requestor's name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers, e-mail address, and the Proposer he/she represents.

Such comments or questions may be submitted at any time prior to the applicable last date specified in Section 1.5 and shall identify the document (e.g., the DBA, the Design-Build Technical Provisions, etc.) including the relevant section number for reference, and indicating whether the Proposer believes the question to contain confidential or proprietary information relating to Proposer's Proposal or ATCs. TxDOT reserves the right to disagree with Proposer's assessment regarding confidentiality of information in the interest of maintaining a fair process or complying with applicable Law. Under such circumstances, TxDOT will inform the Proposer and may allow it to withdraw the question, rephrase the question, or have the question answered non-confidentially or, if TxDOT determines that it is appropriate to provide a general response, TxDOT will modify the question to remove information that TxDOT determines is confidential.

Proposers will be limited to 30 questions per version of the RFP. If a question has more than one subpart, each subpart will be considered a separate question. Corrections of typographical errors, incorrect cross references or internal inconsistencies within or among the RFP documents will be excluded from the above question limitation.

Except during one-on-one meetings, no telephone or oral requests will be considered. Proposers are responsible for ensuring that any written communications clearly indicate on the first page or in the subject line, as applicable, that the material relates to the Project.

2.3.2 Ombudsman

TxDOT has designated an employee who is not involved in this procurement to act as an ombudsman for the purpose of receiving written communications submitted in accordance with this Section 2.3.2 on a confidential basis regarding the procurement process. Instead of submitting written communications to TxDOT's Authorized Representative as provided in Section 2.3.1, a Proposer may submit such confidential communications, comments or complaints regarding the procurement to the ombudsman, where Proposer believes in good faith that confidentiality is essential. Please note that the deadline set forth in Section 2.3.1 applies to comments and questions regarding the RFP that are submitted to the Ombudsman. A Proposer must submit such confidential communications in a separate document that does not include any information identifying Proposer. After receiving such confidential communications, the ombudsman shall forward only the separate document containing the confidential communication to TxDOT's Authorized Representative as identified in Section 2.2.1. If the ombudsman determines that the submitted material is not of a confidential nature or has been submitted past the applicable deadline set forth in Section 2.3.1, the ombudsman shall return the submission to Proposer and instruct Proposer to submit the communication directly to TxDOT's Authorized Representative in accordance with Section 2.3.1. TxDOT has designated the following individual who is not involved in the procurement to be the ombudsman for the procurement:

Ms. Rebecca Blewett
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 E. 11th Street Austin, Texas 78701
E-mail: Becky.Blewett@txdot.gov

All other questions and requests for clarification should be submitted to the Authorized Representative in accordance with Section 2.3.1.

2.3.3 Addenda

TxDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to revise, modify or change the RFP and/or procurement process at any time before the Proposal Due Date (or, if Proposal Revisions are requested pursuant to Section 5.6, prior to the due date for Proposal Revisions). Any such revisions will be implemented through issuance of Addenda to the RFP.

2.4 Pre-Proposal Meetings

2.4.1 Informational Meetings

TxDOT may at its option hold joint informational meetings with all Proposers at any time prior to the Proposal Due Date following notice to all Proposers.

2.4.2 One-on-One Meetings

TxDOT intends to conduct one-on-one meetings with each Proposer on the dates set forth in Section 1.5, and on such other dates designated by TxDOT in writing to the Proposers, to discuss issues and clarifications regarding the RFP and Proposer's ATCs. TxDOT reserves the right to disclose to all Proposers any issues raised during the one-on-one meetings, except to the extent that TxDOT determines, in its sole discretion, such disclosure would impair the confidentiality of an ATC or would reveal a Proposer's confidential business strategies. Participation at such meetings by the Proposers shall be mandatory. The Federal Highway Administration ("FHWA") and the Authority may also participate in all one-on-one meetings.

2.4.3 Questions and Responses During One-on-One Meetings

During one-on-one meetings, Proposers may ask questions and TxDOT may provide responses. However, any responses provided by TxDOT during one-on-one meetings may not be relied upon. TxDOT may, in its discretion, and subject to Section 2.3.1 above, respond in writing to those questions; TxDOT may also incorporate the substance of its responses into the DBA Documents by Addenda, to the extent responses are inconsistent with the terms of the DBA Documents.

2.5 Confidentiality/Public Information Act Disclosure Requests

2.5.1 Confidentiality Rules

All Proposers should obtain and thoroughly familiarize themselves with the Act, Code and any Rules applicable to the issue of confidentiality and public information. Each Proposer, by submitting a Proposal to TxDOT in response to the RFP, consents to the disclosures described in this ITP, including disclosures under the Public Information Act, the Code, the Rules, or any other Law relating to the confidentiality or disclosure of information, and expressly waives any right to contest, impede, prevent or delay such disclosure, or to initiate any proceeding that may have the effect of impeding, preventing or delaying such disclosure. Under no circumstances will TxDOT be responsible or liable to a Proposer or any other party as a result of disclosing any such materials.

2.5.2 Observers During Evaluation

Proposers are advised that observers from federal or other agencies may observe the Proposal evaluation process and will be permitted to review the Proposals after the Proposal Due Date. TxDOT has agreed to allow: (i) FHWA officials and their outside advisors, and (ii) the Authority to observe the procurement process, which includes

access to the Financial Proposals. Outside observers (other than FHWA officials) will be required to sign TxDOT's standard confidentiality agreement.

2.5.3 Public Disclosure of Proposal Documents

Proposers are advised that the information contained in Form J (Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement) and the Executive Summary (described in Exhibit B, Section 3.1) of each Proposal may be publicly disclosed by TxDOT at any time, in TxDOT's sole discretion.

Proposers are advised that upon recommendation to the Commission of the selection of an apparent best value Proposer and in TxDOT's sole discretion, TxDOT may publicly release (a) each Proposal with the exception of: non-public financial statements of privately held entities; Form M-1 (Design-Build Price); Form M-1.1 (Design-Build Price Breakdown); Form M-1.2 (ATC Cost Adjustment); Form M-2 (DB Contractor Cash Flow Adjustment Table / Maximum Payment Schedule); and (b) the selected apparent best value Proposer's Forms M-1, M-1.1, M-1.2, M-2, or any of the information contained therein. In the event TxDOT is unable to reach agreement on the DBA with the selected apparent best-value Proposer and TxDOT, in accordance with applicable law, chooses to enter into negotiations with the next highest ranking Proposer, then the Forms M-1, M-1.1, M-1.2, M-2 submitted by the next such highest ranking Proposer and any information contained therein may be disclosed as described in the previous sentence for the selected apparent best-value Proposer.

Prior to recommendation to the Commission of the selection of an apparent best-value Proposer, the financial statements and any Form M-1, M-1.1, M-1.2, M-2 submitted by Proposers and the information contained therein shall be subject to disclosure as may be required by the Public Information Act, the Code, the Rules, or any other Law relating to the confidentiality or disclosure of information.

After recommendation to the Commission of the selection of an apparent best-value Proposer, but prior to execution of the DBA, any Form M-1, M-1.1, M-1.2, M-2 submitted by Proposers and the information contained therein, other than that of the apparent best-value Proposer (or that of the next highest ranking Proposer, if such Proposer is in DBA negotiations with TxDOT) shall be subject to disclosure as may be required by the Public Information Act, the Code, the Rules, or any other Law relating to the confidentiality or disclosure of information.

After final award, or in the event that the procurement is cancelled by TxDOT, TxDOT shall have the right to publicly disclose any and all portions of all the Proposals, except the non-public financial statements of privately held entities. However, the non-public financial statements of privately held entities shall be subject to disclosure as may be required by the Public Information Act, the Code, the Rules, or any other Law relating to the confidentiality or disclosure of information.

2.6 TxDOT Studies and Investigations

To the extent TxDOT undertakes any investigative activities, the information obtained by

TxDOT from such activities may be made available to Proposers in the Reference Information Documents. All information provided by TxDOT will be subject to the same limitations applicable to similar information furnished in the Reference Information Documents. Specifically, TxDOT makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the additional information.

2.7 Site Access

An expedited process for obtaining rights of entry to access portions of the Project is attached hereto as Exhibit F. Subject to this Section 2.7 and pursuant to the terms of Exhibit F and subject to the Proposer obtaining any required administrative or governmental approvals, Proposers will be allowed access, through the Proposal Due Date, to those portions of the Project under TxDOT ownership that are not currently under construction, subject to the conditions specified in Exhibit F, for purposes of inspecting in-place assets and determining Site conditions through non-destructive investigations. This work may include surveys and site investigations, such as geotechnical, Hazardous Materials and Utilities investigations. The Proposer shall submit a preliminary testing plan for approval to the Authorized Representative before beginning any geotechnical investigations within the Project ROW or on the existing roadway. This plan shall indicate the proposed extent of removal or disturbance of any in place sub-grade or in place roadway material. The plan will describe surface location and depth of testing as well as specific test description to be performed. The Proposer shall also submit the proposed method to refill and replace any removed roadway material to ensure the safe function of the in-place pavement. Finally, the Proposer shall submit the proposed traffic control supplier and traffic control plan needed to complete any and all testing for approval.

After conditional award has been made, the selected Proposer will be allowed access to the Project Right of Way that TxDOT owns, in accordance with the process described in this Section 2.7, in order to conduct surveys and site investigations, including geotechnical, Hazardous Materials and Utilities investigations, and to engage in the other activities referenced in the DBA Documents that are allowed prior to NTP2.

2.8 Errors

If any mistake, discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error, or omission is identified by Proposer at any time during the procurement process in any of the documents supplied by TxDOT, Proposer shall notify TxDOT of the recommended correction in writing in accordance with Section 2.3.1.

2.9 Improper Conduct

2.9.1 Non-Collusion

Neither Proposer nor any of its team members shall undertake any of the prohibited activities identified in the Non-Collusion Affidavit (Form F).

2.9.2 Organizational Conflicts of Interest

The Rules at 43 Texas Administrative Code § 9.155 regarding organizational conflicts of interest apply to all design-build projects, including this Project. Proposers are advised that these rules may preclude certain firms and their subsidiaries and affiliates from participating on a Proposer team.

By submitting its Proposal, each Proposer agrees that, if an organizational conflict of interest (as defined in the Rules) is thereafter discovered, Proposer must make an immediate and full written disclosure to TxDOT that includes a description of the action that Proposer has taken or proposes to take to avoid or mitigate such conflicts. If an organizational conflict of interest is determined to exist, TxDOT may, at its sole discretion, cancel the procurement, disqualify Proposer with a conflict or take other action as necessary to mitigate the conflict. If Proposer was aware of an organizational conflict of interest prior to the award of the DBA and did not disclose the conflict to TxDOT, TxDOT may pursue remedies under the DBA, including termination of the DBA for default.

2.10 Changes in Proposer's Organization

Proposers are advised that in order for a Proposer to remain qualified to submit a Proposal after it has been placed on the shortlist, unless otherwise approved in writing by TxDOT, Proposer's organization as identified in the QS must remain intact for the duration of the procurement process. If a Proposer wishes to make other changes in the team members identified in its QS, including, without limitation, additions, deletions, reorganizations, changes in equity ownership interests and/or role changes in or of any of the foregoing, Proposer shall submit to TxDOT a written request for approval of the change from TxDOT as soon as possible but in no event later than the applicable last date set forth in Section 1.5. Any such request shall be addressed to TxDOT at the address set forth in Section 2.2.1, accompanied by the information specified for such entities in the RFQ. If a request is made to allow deletion or role change of any Major Participant identified in its QS, Proposer shall submit such information as may be required by TxDOT to demonstrate that the changed team meets the RFQ and RFP criteria (pass/fail and technical). Proposer shall submit an original and five copies of each request package. TxDOT is under no obligation to approve such requests and may approve or disapprove in writing a portion of the request or the entire request at its sole discretion. Except as provided herein and in the DBA Documents, a Proposer may not make any changes in the team members identified in its QS after the applicable last date set forth in Section 1.5. Between the applicable date set forth in Section 1.5 and execution of the DBA, TxDOT, in its sole discretion, will consider requests by Proposers to make changes in Proposers' organization based only on unusual circumstances beyond Proposer's control.

2.11 Changes in Key Personnel and Designation of New Key Personnel

The Request for Qualifications required Proposers to identify a person to serve in the Key Personnel role of "Design Quality Acceptance Manager." Such person shall be

referred to herein instead as the "Design Quality Assurance Manager" and all references to the Design Quality Acceptance Manager in the RFQ are hereby deemed to instead refer to the Design Quality Assurance Manager, who shall be the same person.

Proposers are advised that TxDOT discourages changes in Key Personnel from the individuals listed in the QS. Any proposed changes in Key Personnel from those identified in the QS are subject to TxDOT approval as specified in this Section 2.11. Each Proposer shall submit a package that includes a list of any proposed changes in Key Personnel from those identified in the QS, along with copies of resumes for each such person (which must contain the individual's qualifications and relevant work experience) and contact information for three references for each individual. In addition, if any individual to be included in the Proposal is also proposed as a Key Personnel or for another position on any other TxDOT procurement, Proposer shall include in the package either: (1) a statement certifying that said individual will be available to assume its designated role on the SH 71 Toll Lanes Project if Proposer is the successful Proposer or (2) the resume of a qualified alternate. Each such package shall include an original and five copies of the information specified above. The package shall be submitted to TxDOT by the date and time for submittal of changes in Key Personnel specified in Section 1.5 for review and written approval by TxDOT, in its sole discretion. The package shall be delivered to the address set forth in Section 2.2.1.

TxDOT is under no obligation to approve requested changes in Key Personnel or designations of new Key Personnel and may disapprove such requests at its sole discretion. If TxDOT, in its sole discretion, disapproves a proposed Key Personnel or (if applicable) its proposed alternate, Proposer shall submit the information required above for its proposed substitute for review and approval by TxDOT in accordance with the foregoing process at least ten Business Days prior to the Proposal Due Date. The Proposal may not include any Key Personnel previously disapproved by TxDOT in writing for such Key Personnel position. A Proposer may not make any changes in its Key Personnel after receipt of TxDOT approval as specified in this Section 2.11.

2.12 Sales Tax

The Project is exempt from sales tax for certain Expendable Materials as more particularly described in the DBA Documents. The selected Proposer and its contractors and subcontractors will be required to submit a "Texas Sales and Use Tax Exemption Certification" to a seller for exempt items. The referenced form is available online to the public through the Texas Comptroller's website.

SECTION 3.0 ALTERNATIVE TECHNICAL CONCEPTS

3.1 Alternative Technical Concepts

"Alternative Technical Concepts" or "ATCs" are concepts that conflict with the requirements for design and construction of the Project or otherwise require a modification of the Technical Provisions but that may nevertheless be proposed in

accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this ITP. Sections 3.1 through 3.5 set forth a process for pre-Proposal review of ATCs. This process is intended to allow Proposers to incorporate innovation and creativity into the Proposals, in turn allowing TxDOT to consider Proposer ATCs in making the selection decision, to avoid delays and potential conflicts in the design associated with deferring of reviews of ATCs to the post-award period, and, ultimately, to obtain the best value for the public.

ATCs eligible for consideration hereunder shall be limited to those deviations from the requirements of the as-issued DBA Documents that result in performance and quality of the end product that is equal to or better than the performance and quality of the end product absent the deviation, as determined by TxDOT in its sole discretion. A concept is not eligible for consideration as an ATC if, in TxDOT's sole judgment, it is premised upon or would require (a) a reduction in Project scope, performance or reliability; (b) the addition of a separate TxDOT project to the DBA (such as expansion of the scope of the Project to include additional roadways), or (c) an increase in the amount of time required for Substantial Completion of the Work under the DBA. ATCs that, if implemented, would require further environmental evaluation of the Project, may be allowed, provided that DB Contractor will bear the schedule and cost risk associated with such additional environmental evaluation. If DB Contractor is not able to obtain the approvals necessary to implement the ATC, DB Contractor will be obligated to develop the Project in accordance with existing approvals without additional cost or extension of time.

Any ATC that has been pre-approved may be included in the Proposal, subject to the conditions set forth herein.

If a Proposer is unsure whether a concept is consistent with the requirements of the RFP or if that concept would be considered an ATC by TxDOT, TxDOT recommends that Proposer submit such concept for review as an ATC.

3.2 Pre-Proposal Submission of ATCs

3.2.1 Using the form attached hereto as Exhibit I (ATC Proposal), Proposer may submit ATCs for review to TxDOT's Authorized Representative specified in Section 2.2.1 until the applicable last date and time for submittal of ATCs identified in Section 1.5. All ATCs shall be submitted in writing, with a cover sheet identifying Proposer and stating "SH 71 Toll Lanes Project – Confidential ATCs," and following the instructions on Exhibit I. If Proposer does not use Exhibit I, the submission will not be treated as an ATC by TxDOT. ATC submittals shall include five copies of Exhibit I.

3.2.2 Proposer shall not make any public announcement or disclosure to third parties concerning any ATC until after pre-approval (including conditional pre-approval) has been obtained. Following pre-approval (including conditional pre-approval), if a Proposer wishes to make any such announcement or disclosure, it must first notify TxDOT in writing of its intent to take such action, including details as to date and participants, and obtain TxDOT's prior written consent, in its sole discretion, to do so.

3.2.3 If implementation of an ATC will require approval by a third party (e.g., a governmental authority), Proposer shall have full responsibility for, and bear the full risk of, obtaining any such approvals after award of the DBA and submission of data; provided, however, that TxDOT shall retain its role as liaison with any governmental authorities as more particularly described in the DBA Documents. If any required third-party approval is not subsequently granted with the result that Proposer must comply with the requirements of the original RFP, Proposer will not be entitled to a Change Order for additional compensation or time under the DBA.

3.2.4 If TxDOT determines, based on a proposed ATC or otherwise, that the RFP contains an error, ambiguity or mistake, TxDOT reserves the right to modify the RFP to correct the error, ambiguity or mistake, regardless of any impact on a proposed ATC.

3.3 TxDOT Review of Pre-Proposal Submission of ATCs

TxDOT may request additional information regarding proposed ATCs at any time and will, in each case, return responses to each Proposer regarding its ATC on or before the applicable last date set forth in Section 1.5, provided that TxDOT has received all required and requested information regarding such ATC.

TxDOT's responses will be limited to one of the following statements:

- (a) the ATC is acceptable for inclusion in the Proposal;
- (b) the ATC is not acceptable for inclusion in the Proposal;
- (c) the ATC is not acceptable in its present form, but may be acceptable upon the satisfaction, in TxDOT's sole discretion, of certain identified conditions which must be met or clarifications or modifications that must be made;
- (d) the submittal does not qualify as an ATC but may be included in Proposer's Proposal because it appears to be within the requirements of the RFP; or
- (e) the submittal does not qualify as an ATC and may not be included in the Proposer's Proposal.

In addition, if TxDOT determines that implementation of the ATC will decrease overall project costs but will result in an increase in TxDOT's costs, TxDOT will provide in the ATC approval letter the amount of the estimated increase in its costs (the "ATC Cost Adjustment"). If the ATC is in fact incorporated into such Proposer's Proposal, the ATC Cost Adjustment shall be added to the Design-Build Price for evaluation purposes only in accordance with Section 5.4.1.2.

TxDOT will make a preliminary determination on whether to accept and approve an ATC for submission. However, Proposer will be responsible for ensuring that the final submittal complies with the requirements of the RFP.

After TxDOT has responded to each Proposer regarding its ATCs in accordance with

this Section 3.3, Proposers will be provided a single final opportunity to meet with TxDOT to discuss reasons for a change to any of the ATC determinations previously delivered by TxDOT for the ATCs submitted by the applicable Proposer (the “ATC Presentation Meeting”). Proposers need not discuss with TxDOT any ATC that TxDOT has determined is acceptable for inclusion in the Proposal. If a Proposer elects to participate in an ATC Presentation Meeting, it must submit to TxDOT in the manner set forth herein an agenda identifying each of the ATCs it intends to discuss and a list of attendees by no later than the date and time set forth in Section 1.5. The ATC Presentation Meetings (if any) will occur on the date set forth in Section 1.5 at a specific time and location to be determined by TxDOT. The ATC Presentation Meeting will last no longer than one hour for each Proposer and each Proposer may discuss solely the ATCs identified in its agenda. Proposers may not submit for discussion and TxDOT will not consider any ATCs that have been changed, modified or revised, in any form, from that which was originally submitted and clarified during the ATC submission period. If a Proposer elects to participate in an ATC Presentation Meeting, TxDOT will notify such Proposer after such meeting of TxDOT's final determination for each of the ATCs discussed at such meeting. With regard to any ATC that is not shown on the Proposer's agenda or, is shown on the Proposer's agenda, but is not discussed by the Proposer in the ATC Presentation Meeting, the determination previously provided by TxDOT for the applicable ATCs shall become final.

Approval of an ATC will constitute a change in the specific requirements of the DBA Documents associated with the approved ATC for that specific Proposer. Each Proposer, by submittal of its Proposal, acknowledges that the opportunity to submit ATCs was offered to all Proposers, and waives any right to object to TxDOT's determinations regarding acceptability of ATCs and any ATC Cost Adjustments made in accordance with this Section 3.3 and Section 5.4.1.2.

TxDOT's rejection of a pre-Proposal submission of an ATC will not entitle Proposer to an extension of the Proposal Due Date or the date that the ATCs are due; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not limit TxDOT's absolute and sole right to modify the Proposal Due Date or any other date in connection with this procurement.

TxDOT anticipates that its comments provided to a Proposer will be sufficient to enable Proposer to make any necessary changes to its ATCs. However, if a Proposer wishes additional clarifications regarding necessary changes, Proposer may provide a written request for clarifications under Section 2.3.1.

3.4 Incorporation of ATCs in the DBA Documents

Following conditional award of the DBA, the ATCs that were pre-approved by TxDOT and incorporated in the Proposal by the successful Proposer shall be included in the DBA Documents. If TxDOT responded to any ATC by stating that it would be acceptable if certain conditions were met, those conditions will become part of the DBA Documents. The DBA Documents will be conformed after conditional award, but prior to execution of the DBA, to reflect the ATCs, including any TxDOT conditions thereto.

Prior to execution of the DBA, ATCs from unsuccessful Proposers that have agreed, at their option, to execute the Payment for Work Product Agreement as described at Section 6.3, thus confirming their acceptance of the stipend, may, in TxDOT's sole discretion, be presented to the selected DB Contractor for possible incorporation in the DBA Documents during negotiation of the final terms of the DBA pursuant to Section 5.10.1. In addition, following execution of the DBA, ATCs from unsuccessful Proposers may, in TxDOT's sole discretion, be presented to the selected DB Contractor as a TxDOT Change Order in accordance with the DBA.

3.5 Confidentiality

TxDOT may disclose a Proposer's ATCs to the Authority for the purpose of review of and comment on the ATC by the Authority. In that event, the Authority personnel involved will have executed the appropriate confidentiality agreement. Subject to the foregoing, and further subject to the provisions of the Act and the Rules, ATCs and all communications regarding ATCs will remain confidential until a decision is made to select a Proposer or cancel the procurement, at which time all confidentiality rights, if any, shall be of no further force and effect except as otherwise allowed under the Public Information Act, applicable Law, and Section 2.6 of this ITP. The foregoing shall not preclude TxDOT from modifying the documents as necessary to comply with applicable Law or to account for information obtained by TxDOT outside of the ATC process. By submitting a Proposal, Proposer agrees, if it is not selected, to disclosure of its work product to the successful Proposer.

SECTION 4.0 REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROPOSALS AND ACCEPTANCE OF DELIVERY BY TXDOT

4.1 General Submittal Requirements

Each Proposal shall include a Technical Proposal and a Financial Proposal meeting the requirements set forth in Exhibits B and C, respectively. The Proposal shall be submitted in recyclable, low-cost, sealed containers in the format and manner set forth in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

4.1.1 Proposal Due Date

The completed Proposal shall be delivered no later than the Proposal Due Date and time specified in Section 1.5.

4.1.2 Signatures Required

The Proposal Letter (Form A) shall be executed by all parties making up Proposer, and shall be accompanied by evidence of signatory authorization as specified in Form A.

4.1.3 Requirement to Submit Compliant Proposal

Except as permitted in accordance with Section 3.0 (ATCs), the Proposal may not include any qualifications, conditions, exceptions to or deviations from the requirements

of the RFP. If the Proposal does not fully comply with the instructions and rules contained in this ITP, including the ITP Exhibits, or contains an alteration or deviation or is non-compliant in any manner, it may be disqualified.

If a Proposal is deemed non-responsive or non-compliant, TxDOT may disqualify the Proposal from further consideration, in its sole discretion. Such disqualification will not result in the forfeiture of Proposer's Proposal Security.

4.1.4 Format

An 8 ½ by 11-inch format is required for typed submissions and an 11 by 17-inch format is required for drawings, except that any support letters provided from parties outside the United States may be submitted in ISO A4 format and design drawings may be submitted on roll plots not to exceed 34 inches in width and 72 inches in length (and such design drawings shall be submitted on CD or DVD in PDF format and in Bentley Microstation format). Preliminary schedule submissions shall include both a hard copy and the electronic files necessary to view and manipulate the schedule in Primavera.

Submittals must be bound with all pages numbered in a binder. Printed lines may be single-spaced with the type font size being no smaller than 12-point, other than in tables and figures, which may be prepared using 10-point font size type. The use of 11 by 17-inch foldouts for tables, graphics and maps is acceptable in the main body of the Proposal. Each 11 by 17-inch foldout will be considered one page. No page limit applies to appendices and exhibits; however, TxDOT does not commit to review any information in appendices and exhibits other than those required to be provided, and the Proposal evaluation process will focus on the body of the Proposal and any required appendices and exhibits.

4.1.5 Additional Requirements for Proposal Delivery

The completed Proposal shall be submitted and delivered in sealed containers no later than the Proposal Due Date and time specified in [Section 1.5](#). The Proposal is to be delivered to TxDOT at the following address:

Frank Holzmann, P.E.
Texas Department of Transportation
7600 Chevy Chase Drive, Building 2, Suite 400
Austin, TX 78752

Each binder of the Proposal shall be labeled to indicate its contents and the Proposer. The original Technical and Financial Proposals shall be clearly identified as "original"; copies of the Proposals shall be sequentially numbered, labeled and bound.

4.2 Technical Proposal

4.2.1 General

All of the binders comprising the original Technical Proposal, together with an electronic

copy on one or more CDs or DVDs and the envelopes described in Section 4.2.2, shall be packaged in a single container, clearly addressed to TxDOT as provided herein, and labeled “[Proposer Name]: Original Technical Proposal for the TxDOT SH 71 Toll Lanes Project.” In addition, Proposer shall provide 9 copies of the Technical Proposal, excluding (i) the Proposal Security (which must be submitted according to the requirements set forth below) and (ii) any roll plots, for which the Proposer shall provide four certified copies. The containers that include the required hard copies of the Technical Proposal shall be labeled “Copies of Technical Proposal for the TxDOT SH 71 Toll Lanes Project.”

The one electronic copy shall be in PDF format on CD(s) or DVD(s); provided, however, that: (a) Proposal forms may be submitted in either PDF or Word format; and (b) corporate, partnership, joint venture and limited liability company documents (e.g., articles of incorporation, bylaws, partnership agreements, joint venture agreements and limited liability company operating agreements) may be submitted in hard copy and need not be submitted electronically.

4.2.2 Proposal Security

One original and three copies of the Proposal Security shall be provided with the Technical Proposal, and shall be in a separate envelope labeled “[Proposer Name]: Proposal Security for the TxDOT SH 71 Toll Lanes Project.”

4.3 Financial Proposal

The Financial Proposal shall be submitted in two separate parts: (1) pricing information as described in Section 4.3.1 and (2) financial capacity information as described in Section 4.3.2. Each of the two parts of the Financial Proposal shall be delivered to TxDOT at the address identified in Section 4.1.5 by the Proposal Due Date.

4.3.1 Pricing Information

All parts of the Proposal that indicate pricing information (in particular, completed pricing Forms M-1, M-1.1, M-1.2 and M-2) shall be included in a sealed container labeled “[Proposer Name]: Financial Proposal/Pricing Information for the TxDOT SH 71 Toll Lanes Project.” Proposer shall provide one original and nine certified copies of all pricing information, including all completed pricing forms, together with one electronic copy of the completed pricing forms submitted in Word format on CD or DVD, provided, however, that Forms M-1.1 and M-2 shall be completed and submitted in Excel format on such CD or DVD.

4.3.2 Financial Capacity Information

The financial capacity information and Form O submitted in response to Exhibit C, Section 2.0 shall be submitted in binders separately from the sealed Financial Proposal/Pricing Information container. One original and nine certified copies, as well as one electronic copy in PDF format on CD or DVD, of the financial capacity information shall be submitted in a container labeled “[Proposer Name]: Financial

Proposal/Financial Capacity information for the TxDOT SH 71 Toll Lanes Project.”

4.4 Withdrawal and Validity of Proposals

Proposer may withdraw its Proposal at any time prior to the time due on the applicable Proposal Due Date by means of a written request signed by the Proposer’s designated representative. Such written request shall be delivered to the address in Section 2.2.1. A withdrawal of a Proposal will not prejudice the right of a Proposer to file a new Proposal provided that it is received before the time due on the applicable Proposal Due Date. Proposals shall be valid for a period of 180 days after the Financial Proposal Due Date. No Proposer may withdraw its Proposal within the 180-day period, unless notified by TxDOT that (i) no DBA for the Project will be awarded by TxDOT pursuant to the RFP (ii) TxDOT has awarded the DBA to another Proposer and has received the executed DBA and other required documents, (iii) TxDOT does not intend to award the DBA to the Proposer; or (iv) such Proposer is not the apparent best-value or next highest ranking Proposer.

If the next best Proposer is notified during the 180-day period that it is selected for negotiations, such Proposer shall be automatically deemed to have extended the validity of its Proposal for the period until 270 days after the Financial Proposal Due Date. Any Proposer may elect, in its sole discretion, to extend the validity of its Proposal beyond the time periods set forth above.

4.5 Forfeiture of Proposal Security

The dollar amount of the Proposal Security to be submitted with each Proposal shall be \$3,000,000 (see Exhibit B, Section 3.3.2). By submitting its Proposal, each Proposer understands and agrees that it shall forfeit its Proposal Security if: (i) it withdraws, repudiates or otherwise indicates in writing that it will not meet all or any part of its commitments made in its Proposal except as specifically permitted hereunder; (ii) it is selected for negotiations, but fails to negotiate in good faith with TxDOT as set forth in Section 5.11; or (iii) it is selected as the apparent best value Proposer, but fails to provide the documents required under Sections 6.1 and 6.1.1.

SECTION 5.0 EVALUATION AND POST-SELECTION PROCESS

TxDOT’s goal is to create a fair and uniform basis for the evaluation of the Proposals in compliance with all applicable legal requirements governing this procurement. The Proposal evaluation process will consist of the steps outlined herein.

5.1 Best Value Determination

The best value determination will be based on an 85-15 point scale. The Price Score will represent up to 85 points of the total score, and the Technical Score will represent up to 15 points of the total score. The determination of apparent best value shall be based on the highest Total Proposal Score computed based on the following formula:

$$\text{Total Proposal Score (max. 100 points)} = \text{Price Score (max. 85 points)} +$$

Technical Score (max. 15 points)

Price Score

The Price Score will be based on the following formula:

$$\text{Price Score} = (\text{Lowest PV} / \text{PV}) * 85$$

Lowest PV = Lowest Price Value submitted by a Proposer as determined pursuant to Section 5.5.

PV = Proposer's Price Value as determined pursuant to Section 5.5.

Technical Score

The Technical Score will be calculated based on the Evaluation and Selection Recommendation Committee ("ESRC") evaluation score for the Project Development Plan (maximum of 100 points) as described in Section 5.5. The Technical Score will be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Technical Score} = \text{ESRC evaluation score} * 0.15$$

5.2 Pass/Fail and Responsiveness Evaluation

Upon receipt, the Technical Proposals and the Financial Proposals will be reviewed by the pass/fail and responsiveness subcommittee. They will be reviewed (a) for the Proposal's conformance to the RFP instructions regarding organization and format and responsiveness to the requirements set forth in the RFP and (b) based on the pass/fail criteria set forth below.

5.2.1 Technical Proposals

Technical Proposals will be evaluated based on the following pass/fail or responsiveness criteria:

(a) The business form of Proposer, the proposed DB Contractor and any entities that will have joint and several liability (i.e., any joint venture members or partners) under the DBA or that will provide a guaranty (including any joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, operating agreement, articles of incorporation, bylaws, association agreements or equivalent documents) is consistent with the requirements of the Project and DBA Documents.

(b) Proposer has provided a DBE certification in accordance with the requirements of Exhibit B, Section 3.2.9.

(c) Proposer information, certifications, signed statements and documents as listed in Exhibit B, Section 3.2 are included in the Proposal and do not identify any material adverse information.

(d) Proposer has delivered Proposal Security in the form of a complete, properly executed proposal bond that complies with the requirements of Exhibit B, Section 3.3.1.

(e) Proposer has committed to achieving Substantial Completion of the Project within 730 days after NTP1, as shown on Form O, and Proposer's Preliminary Project Baseline Schedule for the Project shows achievement of Substantial Completion no later than Proposer's commitment date for Substantial Completion for the Project as shown on Form O.

(f) Technical Proposal as outlined in Exhibit B meets all applicable RFP requirements.

5.2.2 Financial Proposals

Financial Proposals will be evaluated based on the following pass/fail criteria:

(a) Proposer's financial condition and capabilities shall not have materially adversely changed from its financial condition and capabilities as evidenced by the financial data submitted in the QS, such that Proposer continues to have the financial capacity to develop, design and construct a project of the nature and scope of the Project. Proposer has provided the financial capacity information in accordance with the requirements of Exhibit C, Section 2.0.

If TxDOT determines that a Proposer has insufficient financial capacity, it will offer Proposer the opportunity to meet the financial requirement through one or more guarantors acceptable to TxDOT.

(b) Proposer has provided a Design-Build Price using Forms M-1 and M-1.1 that complies with the requirements of Exhibit C, Section 3.1.

(c) Proposer has provided the ATC Cost Adjustment (if applicable) using Form M-1.2 that complies with the requirements of Exhibit C, Section 3.1.

(d) Proposer has provided a DB Contractor Cash Flow Adjustment Table / Maximum Payment Schedule using Form M-2 that complies with the requirements of Exhibit C, Section 3.1.

(e) Proposer has provided a "Proposal Commitment Date for Substantial Completion" using Form O that complies with the requirements of Exhibit C, Section 2.3.

5.2.3 TxDOT Right to Exclude Proposals from Consideration or to Waive Mistakes

Those Proposals not responsive to the RFP, or that do not pass the pass/fail criteria, may be excluded from further consideration, and Proposer will be so advised. TxDOT may also exclude from consideration any Proposer whose Proposal contains a material misrepresentation. TxDOT reserves the right to waive minor informalities, irregularities

and apparent clerical mistakes which are unrelated to the substantive content of the Proposals.

5.3 Evaluation of Project Development Plan by DPES

After completion of the pass/fail and responsiveness review, the Project Development Plan will be evaluated by the Development Plan Evaluation Subcommittee (“DPES”) based on the evaluation factors set forth below. In addition, the DPES will consider the extent to which the Proposal meets the objectives stated below and includes any improvements over the requirements of the DBA Documents, such as additional benefits and/or value to TxDOT and the public.

Value-added concepts as described in Exhibit B, Section 4.1(b) shall be reviewed and evaluated based on the Proposer’s creativity and innovativeness to create a quality facility that meets or exceeds TxDOT’s requirements and objectives.

5.3.1 Project Development Plan Evaluation Factors

The evaluation factors for the Project Development Plan are as follows:

- (a) Technical Solutions;
- (b) Project Management Plan; and
- (c) Quality Management Plan.

Note that these evaluation factors mirror the components of the Project Development Plan. Refer to Exhibit B for the submittal requirements. The assigned weights for the Project Development Plan evaluation factors identified in clauses (a) through (c) above are set forth in Table 5.2 below. Elements to be addressed with respect to each of these evaluation factors are listed below.

The evaluation factors will be evaluated and rated using qualitative ranking designations, with special attention given to the objectives presented in Sections 5.3.1.1 through 5.3.1.3, which describe the expectations of TxDOT with regard to the work to be performed and the related information to be submitted in the Project Development Plan. Along with the Project goals identified in Section 1.2, these objectives will guide TxDOT’s assessment of the evaluation factors and subfactors.

5.3.1.1 Technical Solutions

Objectives: Innovative design and construction solutions that effectively respond to and address the Project’s requirements, including the following:

- the need to minimize traffic impact and disruption to the traveling public and surrounding communities;

- the requirement to plan and coordinate the design and construction activities with other projects;
- the environmental and community sensitivities and commitments; and
- the efficient and coordinated design and relocation of Utilities.

The Technical Solutions should describe the Proposer's proposed solutions for the Project and should address, at a minimum, the following elements:

- (a) Construction Staging, Sequencing and Traffic Management;
- (b) Bridges and Surface Structures;
- (c) Roadway;
- (d) Environmental Permitting, Mitigation and Impacts;
- (e) Utilities; and
- (f) Preliminary Project Baseline Schedule.

See [Exhibit B](#) for additional detail regarding the specific information concerning this factor and its subfactors to be submitted as part of the Project Development Plan.

5.3.1.2 Project Management Plan

Objective: An organization that is designed with clear lines of responsibility, quality Key Personnel and well-defined roles that respond to the Project and TxDOT that includes the following:

- integrated specialty subcontractors and subconsultants;
- partnering throughout;
- empowerment of all levels of the organization to make decisions in coordination with their TxDOT counterparts and, if need be, a system to elevate issues to ensure rapid decisions;
- quality through a well-defined and executed quality plan for design and construction;
- a disciplined strategy for design, design quality and design review, safety, risk management and securing of third-party approvals; and
- a comprehensive strategy for construction management, logistics, hauling, access, construction sequencing, minimizing public disruptions, safety, subcontracting, DBEs, and other job training.

The Project Management Plan should describe the Proposer's approach to accomplishing the work and should address, at a minimum, the following elements:

- (a) General Project Management;
- (b) Organizational Structure and Key Personnel Roles;
- (c) Risk Management;
- (d) Construction and Traffic Management During Construction Period;
- (e) Schedule and Cost Control Management;
- (f) Environmental Management;
- (g) Design Management;
- (h) Safety and Health Plan; and
- (i) Mentoring and Job Training.

See Exhibit B for additional detail regarding the specific information concerning this factor and its subfactors to be submitted as part of the Project Development Plan.

5.3.1.3 Quality Management Plan

Objective: To provide a Quality Management Plan that is ISO compliant, that integrates TxDOT into the quality management system and enables TxDOT to monitor, audit, and measure DB Contractor's performance in the management of design and construction of the Project.

The Quality Management Plan should address, at a minimum, the following elements:

- (a) Quality Control and Quality Acceptance Procedures; and
- (b) Organizational Structure and Quality Personnel Roles.

See Exhibit B for details regarding the specific information concerning this factor to be submitted as part of the Project Development Plan.

5.3.2 Evaluation Guidelines

The DPES will review the Project Development Plan with reference to the evaluation factors and will assign a qualitative rating for each of the evaluation factors.

5.3.3 Technical Score

During the evaluation, each factor will be assigned a consensus rating by TxDOT, which will be converted to points. The score of each of the evaluation factors will then be

added to arrive at the total evaluation score for the Technical Proposal, with 100 maximum possible points. The Technical Score will be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Technical Score} = \text{total evaluation score (maximum 100)} * 0.15$$

Table 5.2

Project Development Plan	Maximum Qualitative Rating (100 Points)
Technical Solutions	40 Points
Project Management Plan	40 Points
Quality Management Plan	20 Points

5.4 Evaluation of Financial Proposal by FPES

After completion of the pass/fail and responsiveness review, the Financial Proposal will be evaluated by the Financial Proposal Evaluation Subcommittee (“FPES”). The FPES will conduct “blind” reviews and evaluations of the pricing information included in the Financial Proposals to determine the Price Score of the Proposal in accordance with Section 5.2. The Price Value will be determined in accordance with Section 5.4.1.

5.4.1 Price Value

The Price Value will be the sum of A and B, where “A” is the Design-Build Price for the Project as determined in accordance with Section 5.4.1.1 and “B” is the ATC Cost Adjustment as determined in accordance with Section 5.4.1.2.

5.4.1.1 Design-Build Price (A)

The Design-Build Price for the Project will be the Design-Build Price as set forth in Form M-1 and calculated on Form M-2.

5.4.1.2 ATC Cost Adjustment (B)

The ATC Cost Adjustment will be the costs identified by TxDOT pursuant to Section 3.3 associated with implementation of an ATC included in a Proposal and set forth by Proposer on Form M-1.2.

5.5 ESRC Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals

Before reviewing the Financial Proposal and FPES evaluation results, the ESRC will

review the Project Development Plans and the ratings and points recommendations provided by the DPES with respect to each Project Development Plan.

After determining each Proposal's Technical Score, the ESRC will review the Financial Proposal and FPES evaluation results and determine each Proposal's Price Score. The ESRC may accept the evaluation results provided by the FPES, may request the FPES to re-perform the evaluation, or may perform the evaluation itself. However, any further evaluation of the Financial Proposals by either the ESRC or the FPES shall be conducted while the Financial Proposals remain blinded.

Finally, the ESRC will determine the Total Proposal Score for each Proposal based on the formula set forth in Section 5.2 and determine the rankings and the apparent best value.

5.6 Requests for Proposal Revisions

TxDOT may, at any time after receipt of Proposals and prior to final award and execution of the DBA, determine that it is appropriate to request changes to the Proposals ("Proposal Revisions"). Before requesting any such Proposal Revisions, TxDOT will engage in separate discussions (either in writing or in person through one-on-one meetings) with each Proposer and in accordance with the procedures for proposal revisions described in 23 CFR Part 636.501 *et seq.* The request for Proposal Revisions will identify any revisions to the RFP and will specify terms and conditions applicable to the Proposal Revisions, including identifying a time and date for delivery.

Upon receipt of Proposal Revisions, the ESRC, with assistance from the appropriate subcommittees, will re-evaluate the Proposals as revised, and will revise ratings and value estimates as appropriate following the process described above.

5.7 Requests for Clarification

TxDOT may at any time issue one or more requests for clarification to the individual Proposers, requesting additional information or clarification from a Proposer, or may request a Proposer to verify or certify any aspect of its Proposal. Any requests for clarification shall be in writing to the Proposer's designated representative. Proposers shall respond to any such requests within two Business Days (or such other time as is specified by TxDOT) from receipt of the request. The scope, length and topics to be addressed in clarifications shall be prescribed by, and subject to the discretion of, TxDOT.

Upon receipt of requested clarifications and additional information as described above, if any, the Proposals may be re-evaluated to factor in the clarifications and additional information.

5.8 Identification of Apparent Best Value Proposal

Once the ESRC has determined a Total Proposal Score for each Proposal, assigned rankings to the Proposals based on the Total Proposal Scores (whether based on the

original Proposals or Proposal Revisions), and determined the apparent best value, the ESRC will present its recommended rankings to a Steering Committee.

5.9 Recommendation to Commission

The Steering Committee will review the Proposals and the recommendations and supporting information provided by the ESRC, and may accept the recommendation or reject the recommendation.

Upon receipt of recommendations from the Steering Committee, the TxDOT Executive Director or his designee will review the recommendations and may accept the recommendation or reject the recommendation and cancel the procurement. If the Executive Director accepts the Steering Committee's recommendation, the Executive Director will make a recommendation to the Commission regarding the rankings of Proposers and designation of the best value. The Commission will evaluate the recommendations and will determine whether to proceed with award of a DBA to the apparent best value Proposer or take any other action. The Commission's decision of conditional award of the DBA to the apparent best value Proposer, as well as the rankings, will be made in a public hearing and will be considered a public announcement of intent to award the DBA by the Commission.

The Commission's decision regarding award of the DBA shall be final.

5.10 Finalization of the DBA Documents; Post-Selection Process

5.10.1 Negotiation of DBA Documents

If authorized by the Commission, TxDOT will proceed with the apparent best value Proposer to finalize the DBA Documents. TxDOT may agree to limited negotiations with the apparent best value Proposer to clarify any remaining issues regarding scope, schedule, financing or any other information provided by that Proposer. In addition, limited negotiations may be conducted as necessary to incorporate into the DBA Documents the ideas and concepts of an unsuccessful Proposer's work product if the unsuccessful Proposer has elected, at its option, to execute the Payment for Work Product Agreement as described at Section 6.3. Any decision to commence limited negotiations is at TxDOT's sole discretion. By submitting its Proposal, each Proposer commits to enter into the form of DBA included in the RFP, without negotiation or variation, except to fill in blanks and include information that the form of DBA indicates is required from the Proposal.

If a DBA satisfactory to TxDOT cannot be negotiated with the apparent best value Proposer, TxDOT will, formally and in writing, end negotiations with that Proposer and take action consistent with the direction provided by the Commission. Such action may include (a) requiring the best value Proposer to enter into the DBA in the forms included in the RFP, without variation except to fill in blanks and include information that the form of the DBA indicate is required from the Proposal, (b) rejection of all Proposals, (c) issuance of a request for Proposal Revisions to Proposers, but only if the ATCs of one or more Proposers have not been revealed to the original successful Proposer, or

(d) proceeding to the next most highly ranked Proposal to attempt to negotiate a DBA with that Proposer in accordance with this Section 5.11. If option (d) is selected, the Proposer that submitted the next most highly ranked Proposal will be considered the apparent best value Proposer.

In the event TxDOT elects to commence negotiations with a Proposer, such Proposer will be deemed to have failed to engage in good faith negotiations with TxDOT and shall forfeit its Proposal Security as set forth in Section 4.5 if Proposer fails to attend and actively participate in reasonably scheduled negotiation meetings with TxDOT or insists upon terms or conditions for any documents to be negotiated or provided by DB Contractor hereunder that are inconsistent with the DBA Documents.

5.11 Post-Selection Deliverables

5.11.1 Project Management Plan

During the period between conditional award and final award of the DBA, the selected Proposer may, but is not required to, submit all or portions of the Project Management Plan for review, comment and possible pre-approval. TxDOT encourages such early submittal(s), and will attempt to provide comments to any such submittals generally in accordance with the process and timelines set forth in the DBA, but cannot guaranty that it will in fact undertake such review or provide comments or approval.

5.11.2 Documents To Be Submitted Following Conditional Award

As a condition precedent to final award of the DBA, the successful Proposer shall deliver the following to TxDOT within five Business Days after notification of conditional award:

(a) Evidence of authority to transact business in the State of Texas for all members of Proposer's team that will transact business in the State, dated no earlier than 30 days prior to the Proposal Due Date. Depending on the form of organization, such evidence may be in the form of (i) a Certificate of Registration with the Texas Secretary of State along with a Certificate of Good Standing from the state of organization of the member; (ii) evidence from the Texas Comptroller establishing that the Proposer is current with its franchise tax payments; or (iii) other evidence acceptable to TxDOT.

(b) If not previously submitted, a copy of the final organizational documents for DB Contractor and, if DB Contractor is a limited liability company, partnership or joint venture, for each member or partner of DB Contractor. The final form of the organizational documents may not differ materially from the draft organizational documents included with the Proposal. If DB Contractor is a joint venture, attach a letter from each joint venturer stating that the joint venturer agrees to be held jointly and severally liable for any and all of the duties and obligations of DB Contractor under the Proposal and under any contract arising therefrom.

(c) If security for Proposer's obligations under the DBA is required by TxDOT pursuant to Exhibit B, Section 3.2.15 and Exhibit C, Section 2.0, the form of the proposed guarantees, which shall be in form and substance acceptable to TxDOT, in its sole discretion.

(d) Escrowed proposal documents as required by Section 5.11.3.

During the negotiation period, as a condition to final award, Proposer shall deliver drafts of the deliverables identified in Section 6.1.1, for pre-approval by TxDOT.

5.11.3 Escrowed Proposal Documents

(a) DB Contractor shall deliver to TxDOT escrowed proposal documents ("EPDs") containing information regarding Proposer's assumptions made in determining the scope of work and calculating the Proposal prices and meeting all requirements of Section 21.1 of the DBA. The EPDs shall include information regarding the pricing for all components of the lump sum prices set forth in Forms M-1, M-1.1 and M-1.2. The EPDs shall include detailed information from all subcontractors identified in the Proposal and any other potential subcontractors who provided data upon which the Proposal is based. The documents shall be in sealed containers labeled "[Proposer Name]: Escrowed Proposal Materials for the SH 71 Toll Lanes Project". TxDOT shall have the right to review the EPDs for completeness and consistency with the Proposal.

(b) Representatives of TxDOT (and/or its consultants) and the successful Proposer shall review the EPDs prior to execution of the DBA to determine whether they are complete. Such representatives shall also organize the EPDs, labeling each page so that it is obvious that each page is a part of the EPDs, and to enable a person reviewing the page out of context to determine where it can be found within the EPDs. Such representatives shall compile an index that lists each document included in the EPDs, and briefly describes the document and its location in the EPDs. TxDOT will have the right to retain a copy of the index. After the joint review, the EPDs shall be kept in a locked cabinet in TxDOT's offices.

(c) If, following the initial review and organization, TxDOT determines that the EPDs are incomplete, TxDOT may, as a condition to final award, require the selected Proposer to supply data to make the EPDs complete.

(d) Following execution of the DBA, the EPDs will be available for joint review as specified in DBA Section 21.1.1.

SECTION 6.0 FINAL AWARD AND EXECUTION; POST-EXECUTION ACTIONS

6.1 Final Award, Execution and Delivery of DBA

The following are conditions precedent to final award of the DBA: (a) successful completion of negotiations (if held), (b) receipt by TxDOT of all of the documents required to be provided prior to execution of the DBA under Section 6.1, (c) execution of the DBA by the Executive Director of TxDOT or his designee and (d) any other

conditions required by the Commission.

6.1.1 Documents To Be Delivered By Proposer With Executed DBA

Proposer shall deliver the documents listed below to TxDOT concurrently with the executed DBA as a condition to execution of the DBA by TxDOT. On or before the date that TxDOT delivers the execution sets of the DBA to Proposer, TxDOT shall notify Proposer regarding the number of originals and copies required to be delivered.

(a) For each Proposer, its general partners and its joint venture members and each other Major Participant, (i) evidence of authority to transact business in Texas along with a Certificate of Good Standing (or equivalent) from the state of its organization; or (ii) evidence from the Texas Comptroller showing that the Proposer is current with its franchise tax payments, in each case dated no earlier than 30 days prior to the Proposal Due Date and in form and substance acceptable to TxDOT. If such documents are not available due to the form of organization of the entity, Proposer shall provide appropriate documents evidencing its ability to transact business in the State of Texas.

(b) For entities formed after submission of the Proposal, a copy of the entity's final organizational documents. The final form of the organizational documents may not differ materially from the draft organizational documents included with the Proposal.

(c) If security for Proposer's obligations under the DBA is required by TxDOT pursuant to Exhibit B, Section 3.2.15 and Exhibit C, Section 2.0, Proposer shall submit one or more guarantees from guarantor(s) acceptable to TxDOT, in its sole discretion, in the form of Exhibit 13 to the DBA.

(d) Evidence of approval of the final form, and of due authorization, execution, delivery and performance, of the DBA by DB Contractor and, if DB Contractor is a joint venture, by its joint venture members. Such evidence shall be in a form and substance satisfactory to TxDOT.

(e) A written opinion from counsel for DB Contractor, which counsel shall be approved by TxDOT (which may be in-house or outside counsel), in substantially the form attached hereto as Form L.

(f) Evidence of insurance required to be provided by DB Contractor under the DBA Documents;

(g) Evidence that DB Contractor and its Major Participants hold all licenses required for performance of the work under the DBA Documents;

(h) TxDOT approved DBE Performance Plan in accordance with the requirements of Section 1.8.2;

(i) A letter from a licensed Surety, rated in the top two categories by two nationally recognized rating agencies or at least A minus (A-) or better and Class VIII or

better by A.M. Best and Company, signed by an authorized representative as evidenced by a current certified power of attorney, committing to provide a Performance Bond and Payment Bond, each in the amount specified and in the forms attached as Exhibits 9 and 10 to the DBA, and a Retainage Bond in the form attached as Exhibit 11 to the DBA. If multiple Surety letters are provided, the Proposal shall identify which Surety will be the lead Surety. The commitment letter may include no conditions, qualifications or reservations for underwriting or otherwise, other than a statement that the commitment is subject to award and execution of the DBA and issuance of NTP1; provided, however, that the Surety may reserve in its letter the right to reasonably approve any material adverse changes made to the DBA Documents, but excluding any changes or information reflected in the Proposal, such as ATCs and Proposer commitments;

(k) A Job Training and Small Business Opportunity Plan as described in Section 7.9 of the DBA.

(l) Any other requirements identified by TxDOT during pre-award negotiations.

6.2 Debriefings

All Proposers submitting Proposals will be notified in writing of the results of the evaluation process. Proposers not selected for award may request a debriefing. Debriefings shall be provided at the earliest feasible time after execution of the DBA. The debriefing shall be conducted by a procurement official familiar with the rationale for the selection decision and DBA award.

Debriefings shall:

(a) Be limited to discussion of the unsuccessful Proposer's Proposal and may not include specific discussion of a competing Proposal;

(b) Be factual and consistent with the evaluation of the unsuccessful Proposer's Proposal; and

(c) Provide information on areas in which the unsuccessful Proposer's Technical Proposal had weaknesses or deficiencies.

Debriefing may not include discussion or dissemination of the thoughts, notes, or rankings of individual members of the ESRC, but may include a summary of the rationale for the selection decision and DBA award.

6.3 Payment to Unsuccessful Proposers

Each Proposer that submits a responsive, but unsuccessful, Proposal and that elects, at its option, to deliver to TxDOT with its Proposal a Payment for Work Product Agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit H, shall be entitled to receive payment from TxDOT for work product that is not returned to Proposer, on the terms and conditions described herein and in the Minute Order issued by the Commission, a copy of which is

attached hereto as Exhibit G. No Proposer shall be entitled to reimbursement for any of its costs in connection with the RFP except as specified in this Section 6.3.

The stipulated payment for work product per Proposer for this procurement will be 0.25% of the successful Proposer's Design-Build Price, except that the amount of the payment may not exceed the value of the work product provided in the Proposal that can, as determined by TxDOT, be used by TxDOT in the performance of its functions. In the event the procurement is terminated before execution of the DBA, TxDOT will pay each Proposer a partial amount of \$125,000, subject to the Proposer's compliance with this Section 6.3. The invoice may be submitted no earlier than 45 days after notice of final award, including execution of the DBA, is posted on the Project Webpage, or, if final award is not made, not earlier than 30 days after cancellation of the procurement or expiration of the time period for award stated in the RFP (as such time period may be extended by mutual agreement of the apparent best value Proposer and TxDOT), as applicable. All Proposers eligible to receive a payment for work product shall be required to submit an invoice to TxDOT in a form acceptable to TxDOT in order to receive such payment. Payments will be made within 30 days of receipt of an invoice therefor.

In submitting an executed Payment for Work Product Agreement, each Proposer agrees that it will accept the stipulated payment for work product and that TxDOT shall be entitled to use all work product that is not returned to Proposer (including ATCs, concepts, ideas, technology, techniques, methods, processes, drawings, reports, plans and specifications) contained in its Proposal or generated by or on behalf of Proposer for the purpose of developing its Proposal, in consideration for TxDOT's agreement to make payment as provided herein (including Exhibit H), without any further compensation or consideration to Proposer.

Each Proposer that timely executes and delivers to TxDOT a Payment for Work Product Agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit H acknowledges that TxDOT will have the right to inform the successful Proposer regarding the contents of the other Proposals after award of the DBA, and that the DBA Documents may incorporate the above-described work product or concepts based thereon. Upon Proposer's receipt of payment hereunder, this right shall extend to allow TxDOT to use such work product in the performance of its functions. As provided in Section 223.249(a) of the Code, the use of any of the work product by TxDOT is at the sole risk and discretion of TxDOT, and shall in no way be deemed to confer liability on the unsuccessful Proposer.

In no event shall any Proposer that is selected for award but fails to satisfy the award conditions set forth in Section 6.1 or that fails to timely execute and deliver the Payment for Work Product Agreement (Exhibit H) be entitled to receive a payment for work product under this Section 6.3.

SECTION 7.0 PROTESTS

Subchapter I in Chapter 9 of Title 43 of the Texas Administrative Code sets forth the exclusive protest remedies available with respect to the RFP and prescribes exclusive procedures for protests regarding:

- (a) allegations that the terms of the RFP are wholly ambiguous, contrary to legal requirements applicable to the procurement, or exceed TxDOT's authority;
- (b) a determination as to whether a Proposal is responsive to the requirements of the Request for Proposals, as applicable; and
- (c) award of the DBA.

Any Proposer wishing to file a protest must do so in accordance with Subchapter I in Chapter 9 of Title 43 of the Texas Administrative Code

SECTION 8.0 TXDOT RIGHTS AND DISCLAIMERS

TxDOT may investigate the qualifications and Proposal of any Proposer under consideration, may require confirmation of information furnished by a Proposer and may require additional evidence of qualifications to perform DB Contractor's obligations under the DBA Documents. TxDOT reserves all rights described herein and available at law, including, without limitation, all rights described in Subchapter I in Chapter 9 of Title 43 of the Texas Administrative Code.

The RFP does not commit TxDOT to enter into any contract. Except as expressly set forth in Section 6.3, TxDOT and the State of Texas assume no obligations, responsibilities, or liabilities, fiscal or otherwise, to reimburse all or part of the costs incurred or alleged to have been incurred by parties considering a response to and/or responding to the RFP. All of such costs shall be borne solely by each Proposer and Proposer team.

In no event shall TxDOT be bound by, or liable for, any obligations with respect to the Project until such time (if at all) as the DBA Documents, in form and substance satisfactory to TxDOT, have been authorized and executed by TxDOT and, then, only to the extent set forth therein. In submitting a Proposal in response to the RFP, Proposer is specifically acknowledging these disclaimers.