US 190/I-10 Feasibility Study

El Paso to Louisiana State Line

Texas Department of Transportation

Final Report

May 2012



Prepared for:

Texas Department of Transportation
Transportation Planning and Programming

Prepared by:

CDM Smith
ATKINS
Parkhill, Smith & Cooper
Civil Associates
BarWin Consultants
Ximenes & Associates

Table of Contents

1 Introduction 1-1
- 1.1 Study Background 1-1
- 1.2 Goals and Objectives of Study 1-3
- 1.3 Corridor Needs 1-4
- 1.4 Project Development Process 1-6
- 1.5 Study Schedule 1-6
- 1.6 Study Development and Coordination 1-7
- 1.7 Study Technical Memoranda 1-9

2 Public Involvement 2-1
- 2.1 Stakeholder Meetings 2-1
- 2.2 Public Meetings 2-2
- 2.3 Local Outreach Group Meetings 2-4
  - 2.3.1 First Series of Local Outreach Group Meetings 2-5
  - 2.3.2 Final Series of Local Outreach Group Meetings 2-7

3 Existing Conditions 3-1
- 3.1 Major Area Highways 3-3
- 3.2 Intermodal Facilities 3-5
  - 3.2.1 Ports 3-5
  - 3.2.2 Airports 3-5
- 3.3 Military Installations 3-6
- 3.4 Transportation Demand 3-7
  - 3.4.1 Traffic Volumes 3-8
  - 3.4.2 Level-of-Service 3-8
  - 3.4.3 Crash Evaluation 3-13
- 3.5 Truck Traffic and Freight Flow Analysis 3-15
- 3.6 Existing Rail Conditions 3-17
- 3.7 Existing Environmental Conditions 3-19
  - 3.7.1 GISST Data Evaluation 3-19
  - 3.7.2 Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics 3-22

4 Future Conditions 4-1
- 4.1 Planned Improvements 4-1
- 4.2 Projected Demographic Conditions 4-3
- 4.3 Future Transportation Conditions 4-3
  - 4.3.1 Projected Travel Demand 4-3
  - 4.3.2 Projected Rail Conditions 4-8
  - 4.3.3 Projected Freight (Truck) Traffic 4-15

5 Preliminary Alternatives 5-1
5.1 Alternative Development 5-1
5.2 Alternatives Evaluation 5-8
5.2.1 Traffic/Mobility 5-8
5.2.2 Engineering/Cost 5-11
5.2.3 Environmental/Land Use 5-12
5.2.4 Socioeconomic 5-14
5.2.5 Public Comments 5-14
5.3 Basis of Selection of Conceptual Alternatives 5-15

6 Conceptual Alternatives 6-1
6.1 Description of Conceptual Alternatives 6-1
6.2 Evaluation Criteria 6-9
6.2.1 Traffic/Mobility Criteria 6-10
6.2.2 Engineering/Costs Evaluation Criteria 6-11
6.2.3 Environmental Evaluation Criteria 6-13
6.2.4 Economic Evaluation Criteria 6-14
6.2.5 Public Input Evaluation Criteria 6-14
6.3 Evaluation Results 6-15
6.3.1 West US 190 6-15
6.3.2 Central US 190 6-17
6.3.3 East US 190 6-19
6.3.4 Conceptual Alternatives Overall Rankings 6-20
6.4 14th Amendment Highway/Gulf Coast Strategic Highway Corridor 6-24

7 Transportation Improvement Strategies 7-1
7.1 Analysis of Transportation Improvement Strategies 7-1
7.2 Identification of Potential Improvements 7-3
7.2.1 Additional Capacity on Existing Facilities 7-3
7.2.2 Relief Routes 7-4
7.2.3 Passing Lanes 7-7
7.2.4 Roadway Design Improvements 7-9
7.2.5 Public Input 7-12
7.3 Summary of Recommended Potential Improvements 7-13

8 Finance Plan and Institutional Issues 8-1
8.1 Highway Funding Recurring and Non-Recurring Sources 8-1
8.2 Texas Highway Needs, Funding Sources, and Mechanisms 8-2
8.2.1 Highway and Bridge Needs 8-2
8.2.2 Funding Sources and Mechanisms 8-3
8.2.3 TxDOT Funding Forecasts 8-6
8.3 US 190 Corridor Funding Needs, Resources, and Institutional Issues 8-8
8.3.1 US 190 Funding Needs 8-8
8.3.2 Total Project Investment Needs 8-8
8.3.3 Local Transportation Improvement Strategies Investment Needs 8-10

8.3.4 Institutional Issues 8-10
8.4 Funding Summary and Conclusions 8-10

9 Summary of Findings 9-1
9.1 Conceptual Alternatives Evaluation Results 9-1
9.2 Transportation Improvement Strategies 9-4
9.3 Overall Study Objectives and Findings 9-5

Appendix A: Projects Identified from Statewide Transportation Plans A-1

Appendix B: Projects Identified Through Public Process B-1

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 US 190/I-10 Feasibility Study and Other Relative Projects 1-2
Figure 1-2 TxDOT's Project Development Process 1-6
Figure 1-3 Study Schedule 1-7
Figure 1-4 TxDOT Study Districts 1-8
Figure 2-1 Improvements Needed? 2-2
Figure 2-2 Type of Improvements To Consider 2-2
Figure 3-1 Study Corridor Sections 3-1
Figure 3-2 Corridor Cities 3-2
Figure 3-3 Roadway Characteristics by Corridor Section 3-4
Figure 3-4 Commercial and General Aviation Airports 3-6
Figure 3-5 Major Forts and Deployment Ports 3-7
Figure 3-6 2007 Daily Traffic Volumes by Corridor Section 3-9
Figure 3-7 2007 Daily Truck Volumes and Percent Trucks by Corridor Section 3-9
Figure 3-8 Level-of-Service Diagram 3-10
Figure 3-9 I-10 Section Current Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 3-11
Figure 3-10 US 190 West Section Current Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 3-11
Figure 3-11 US 190 Central Section Current Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 3-12
Figure 3-12 US 190 East Section Current Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 3-12
Figure 3-13 Existing Level-of-Service 3-13
Figure 3-14 I-10 Section Crash Rate 3-14
Figure 3-15 West US 190 Section Crash Rate 3-14
Figure 3-16 Central US 190 Section Crash Rate 3-15
Figure 3-17 East US 190 Section Crash Rate 3-15
Figure 3-18 Daily Truck Volumes 3-16
Figure 3-19 Existing Rail Line Segments 3-18
Figure 4-1 Level-of-Service (2040) 4-5
Figure 4-2 I-10 Current and 2040 Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 4-6
Figure 4-3 US 190 West Current and 2040 Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 4-6
Figure 4-4 US 190 Central Current and 2040 Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 4-7
Figure 4-5 US 190 East Current and 2040 Travel Demand and Level-of-Service 4-7
Figure 4-6 2040 Additional Capacity Needs 4-8
Figure 4-7 Rail Corridor Tons Forecast 4-9
Figure 4-8 Rail Corridor LOS – 2040 4-15
Figure 4-9 Statewide Annual Freight Tons Movements by Transport Mode 4-16
Figure 4-10 2040 Daily Trucks Volumes on Texas Highways 4-17
Figure 5-1 Typical Sections 5-1
Figure 5-2 1 Total Freeway 5R 5-2
Figure 5-3 2A Total Freeway 4R 5-3
Figure 5-4 2B Total Freeway 4R via US 277 5-3
Figure 5-5 2C Total Freeway 4R via US 83 5-3
Figure 5-6 2D Total Freeway 4R via FM 93 5-4
Figure 5-7 2E Total Freeway 4R via SH 30 5-4

Figure 5-8 2F Total Freeway 4R via Livingston North 5-4
Figure 5-9 2G Total Freeway 4R via Livingston South 5-5
Figure 5-10 2H Total Freeway 4R via SH 63 5-5
Figure 5-11 3 Four-Lane Highway 5-5
Figure 5-12 4 Fort-to-Fort Freeway 5-6
Figure 5-13 5A Fort-to-Port Freeway to I-45 5-6
Figure 5-14 5B Fort-to-Port Freeway to US 69 5-6
Figure 5-15 6 Evacuation Freeway 5-7
Figure 5-16 7A Mobility/Safety Freeway via US 190 5-7
Figure 5-17 7B Mobility/Safety Freeway via SH 30 5-7
Figure 6-1 Total Freeway – Option 1 6-3
Figure 6-2 Total Freeway – Option 2 6-3
Figure 6-3 Total Four-Lane Highway – Option 1 6-3
Figure 6-4 Total Four-Lane Highway – Option 2 6-4
Figure 6-5 Total Four-Lane Highway – Option 3 6-4
Figure 6-6 Fort to Port – Option 1 6-5
Figure 6-7 Fort to Port – Option 2 6-5
Figure 6-8 Evacuation 6-5
Figure 6-9 Mobility/Safety – Option 1 6-6
Figure 6-10 Mobility/Safety – Option 2 6-6
Figure 6-11 Alternative Segments 6-7
Figure 6-12 Evaluation Criteria 6-9
Figure 6-13 Benefit-Cost Ratio of a Freeway 6-22
Figure 6-14 Benefit-Cost Ratio of a Four-Lane Divided Highway 6-22
Figure 6-15 Public Support for the Conceptual Alternatives 6-23
Figure 6-16 Additional Lanes Needed in 2040 with the Implementation of the 14th Amendment Highway 6-26
Figure 6-17 Benefit-Cost Ratio of a Freeway with 14th Amendment Highway/Gulf Coast Strategic Highway 6-27
Figure 6-18 Benefit-Cost Ratio of a Four-Lane Highway with 14th Amendment Highway/Gulf Coast Strategic Highway 6-27
Figure 7-1 Project Identification 7-2
Figure 7-2 Example Super 2 Design 7-7
Figure 7-3 Recommended Potential Added Capacity and Roadway Design Improvements 7-16
Figure 7-4 Recommended Potential Relief Routes and Passing Lanes 7-16

List of Tables

1-1 TxDOT Study Division/Districts and Representatives 1-9
2-1 US 190 Public Meetings 2-3
3-1 General Corridor Characteristics 3-2
3-2 Major Transportation Connections and Facilities 3-3
3-3 Railroads Paralleling US 190 3-18
3-4 Railroads Connecting Forts and Ports 3-19
3-5 Summary of Existing Environmental Conditions 3-20
3-6 Summary of Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics 3-23
4-1 Projected Demographic Conditions 4-3
4-2 Traffic Forecasts 4-4
4-3 Mode Share of Military Shipments 4-9
4-4 Rail Cargo and Military Shipments Handled by Seaports 4-10
4-5 Level-of-Service Grade 4-14
1-2 Preliminary Alternatives 5-2
5-1 Preliminary Alternative Mobility Ratings 5-9
5-2 Preliminary Alternative Connectivity Ratings 5-10
5-3 Preliminary Alternative Safety Ratings 5-11
5-4 Preliminary Alternative Consistency with Transportation Plans Ratings 5-11
5-5 Preliminary Alternative Engineering/Cost Ratings 5-12
5-6 Preliminary Alternative Environmental/Land Use Ratings 5-14
5-7 Preliminary Alternative Socioeconomic Ratings 5-14
5-8 Preliminary Alternative Public Comments Ratings 5-15
5-9 Concepts to be Further Evaluated 5-16
1-3 Conceptual Alternatives 6-2
6-1 Corridor Segments 6-8
6-2 Current O&M Unit Costs 6-12
6-3 Right-of-Way Limits by Facility Type 6-14
6-4 West US 190 Evaluation Results 6-16
6-5 Central US 190 Evaluation Results 6-18
6-6 East US 190 Evaluation Results 6-20
6-7 Overall US 190 Evaluation Results 6-23
6-8 2040 Daily Traffic Volumes along Major Roadways 6-25
6-9 Traffic Impacts 6-25
7-1 Corridor E+C Added Capacity Needs to 2040 7-4
7-2 Prioritization of Potential Relief Route Locations 7-6
7-3 US 190/I-10 Corridor Super 2 Prioritization 7-8
7-4 Potential Interchange Improvements 7-9
7-5 Potential Minimum Roadway Design Criteria 7-11
7-6 Relative Importance of ITS Services by Section 7-12
7-7 Recommended Near to Mid-Term Potential Improvements 7-14
7-8 Recommended Long-Term Potential Improvements 7-15
8-1 Highway Funding Sources 8-2

8-2 Statewide Highway Needs Through 2035 ($ Millions, 2010) 8-3
8-3 Highway Fund Revenues ($ Millions)..... 8-4
8-4 Texas Mobility Fund Revenues ($ Millions) 8-5
8-5 Future Available Highway Project Funding ($ Millions, 2010)..... 8-7
8-6 Alternative Capital Expenditures by Segment ($ Millions, 2010) 8-9
9-1 Recommended Near to Mid-Term Potential Improvements 9-5
9-2 Recommended Long-Term Potential Improvements 9-5

US 190/I-10 Feasibility Study

El Paso to Louisiana State Line