



Community Impacts Assessment Technical Report Form

[Reset Form](#)

Project Name: SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71

CSJ Number: 0440-06-017; 0440-06-018

District(s): Austin

County(ies): Travis

COMMUNITY PROFILE

Attach a map showing the community study area boundaries as well as the locations of any community facilities in the area (schools, places of worship, health care facilities, recreation centers, social services, libraries, etc).

I. General Information

What is the location of the community that may be impacted?

The proposed project is located along a 23.02 mile stretch of State Highway (SH) 130 from SH 45 to SH 71 in Travis County, Texas (see attached Figure 1 for project location).

II. Project Description

Briefly describe the proposed project.

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Austin District proposes to widen the existing State Highway (SH) 130 to include additional travel lanes and auxiliary lanes. The proposed project is located on SH 130 and extends from SH 45 to SH 71 for a total project length of approximately 22 miles. The proposed project includes adding a mainlane in each direction and auxiliary lanes between ramps (where warranted); widening bridges and culverts; and crossover improvements.

The existing SH 130 facility consists of two to three 12-foot-wide lanes in each direction with 12-foot-wide outside shoulders and 6-foot-wide inside shoulders. Directions of travel are separated by a grassy depressed median, usually 135 feet in width. If completed, the mainlanes of the SH 130 facility would consist of three to five 12-foot-wide lanes in each direction with 12-foot-wide outside shoulders and 10- to 12-foot-wide inside shoulders. Directions of travel would be separated by a grassy median that would vary from 103 to 135 feet in width.

The proposed improvements would be constructed within the existing right-of-way (ROW); therefore, no new ROW would be required. No displacements are anticipated.

III. General Character of the Community

What is the name and general character of the community (scattered rural, planned suburban, urban, mixed use)?

Along SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71 throughout the project area the general character of the community is a suburban/rural setting consisting primarily of mixed commercial and residential uses along with undeveloped and agricultural areas. Austin-Bergstrom International Airport is located at the southern end of the project, within the city of Austin. From SH 71 to US 290 the setting is generally rural. The city of Manor is located east of the project area and the intersection of SH 130 and US 290. The Northern end of the project area near SH 45 is located within the city of Pflugerville, and displays concentrated suburban development with mixed commercial development.

The study area that was analyzed for this project is composed of the adjacent census tracts, block groups, and blocks according to the 2010 U.S. Census (Figures 2.1 through 2.4).



Reset Form

*Note- A map of the project area and adjacent properties and land use is attached as Figures 3.1 through 3.13. Representative photographs of the project area are also attached.

Describe the community facilities (shown on attached map) in the area:

Table with 5 columns: Name of Facility, Type of Facility, Public or private?, Does the facility serve a specific population? If so, who?, and Additional details, if necessary. Rows include schools (Hendrickson High, Kelly Lane Middle, Murchison Elementary), churches (Point of Grace Lutheran, River Road Baptist, Cottonwood Baptist), parks (Northeast Metropark Soccer Fields, Walter Long Metropolitan Park, Secluded Willow Park, Mallard Pond Park, Wells Point Park Soccer Complex, Southeast Metro Park, Barkley Meadows Park, Travis County Softball Field), and a police office (Travis County Sheriff Training Academy).



Reset Form

Name of Facility	Type of Facility	Public or private?	Does the facility serve a specific population? If so, who?	Additional details, if necessary
Travis County Correctional Complex	Correctional Facility	Public	No	Figure 3.13
South Rural Community Center	Community Center	Public	No	Figure 3.13
Austin Transitional Center	Rehabilitation Facility	Public	No	Figure 3.13

IV. Data

1. What data sources were used?

Yes U.S. Census Bureau

Yes American Community Survey (ACS)

No Texas State Data Center

No Other

Attach tables or thematic maps detailing race (including Hispanics), language, income, disability, gender, and age data for the affected community study area. Tables and maps may be downloaded from FactFinder and the ACS Summary File. Instructions for navigating Fact Finder and ACS Summary File can be found in the Toolkit. A list of tables to use can be found in the Toolkit. If you prefer to use template tables see the Demographic Table Template in the Toolkit.

2. What is the current DHHS poverty level? \$24,600.00

3. Yes Do any of the census geographies show over a 50% minority population?

Describe:

A minority population is defined as a group of people and/or community experiencing common conditions of exposure or impacts that consists of persons classified by the U.S. Census Bureau as Black/African-American; Hispanic; Asian or Pacific Islander; American Indian, Eskimo, or Aleut; or other non-white persons. According to the 2010 U.S. Census, there are seven census tracts, 14 block groups, and 98 blocks (30 of which are populated) adjacent to the project area. Of these census geographies, six of the seven census tracts and 11 of the 14 block groups have over a 50 percent minority population. At the block level, 10 of the 30 populated blocks have over a 50 percent minority population: Block 2000 within Block Group 2 of Census Tract 18.55; Block 1077 within Block Group 1 of Census Tract 18.56; Block 2003 within Block Group 2 of Census Tract 18.56; Block 2043 and Block 2049 within Block Group 2 of Census Tract 22.07; Block 1006, Block 1009, Block 1011, and Block 1069 within Block Group 1 of Census Tract 22.10; and Block 1005 within Block Group 1 of Census Tract 22.12 (Table 1 attached).

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, a low-income population is defined as a group of people and/or a community, which, as a whole, live below the national poverty level. The current (2017) poverty level in the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia is \$12,060 for an individual and \$24,600 for a household of four (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2017). Table 2 (attached) includes data from the 2011-2015 U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) regarding median household income within the project area census tracts and block groups, as well as Travis County and the state of Texas. Median



[Reset Form](#)

Household Income for Travis County is \$64,451, and averages approximately \$70,956 across the block groups in the project area, which is \$46,356 above the national poverty level for a household of four. Further, none of the census geographies indicated a median household income below the national poverty level for a family of four. The data generally indicate that there is not a substantial low-income population in the project vicinity.

4. No **Do any of the census geographies show a median income below the DHHS poverty level?**

5. Yes **Do any of the census geographies show presence of persons who speak English "less than very well"?**

Describe:

Executive Order (EO) 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency," requires federal agencies to examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with "Limited English Proficiency" (LEP), and develop and implement a system to provide those services so that LEP persons can have meaningful access to them. To determine if specific LEP populations may be affected by the proposed project, census data was collected from the 2011-2015 U.S. Census ACS regarding LEP populations, classified here as populations who speak a language other than English and speak English "less than very well."

The data indicate that there is an LEP population dispersed throughout the project area. An average of 7.17 percent of the population within the project area block groups is a Spanish-speaking LEP population, an average of 0.38 percent of the project area block groups is an 'Asian and Pacific Island language' speaking LEP population, an average of 0.29 percent of the project area block groups is an 'other Indo-European language' population, and 0.06 percent of the project area block groups in an any 'Other language' LEP population (Table 3 attached).

V. Site Visit

1. Yes **Was a site visit conducted?**

If yes, attach documentation, notes, and photographs from the field visit.

2. Yes **Were there any signs observed in languages other than English?**

Describe the languages(s) observed, frequency, and general location of signs in other languages (throughout the study area, concentrated in a particular vicinity, etc.) :

Spanish language signs were observed throughout the project area (Photos 1 through 4).

3. No **Were there places of worship, businesses, or services that target or serve specific minority groups?**

4. No **Were there signs of disabled persons such as ramps on homes or public transportation vehicles or stops specifically designed for disabled persons?**

5. Yes **Were there signs of other vulnerable populations such as children or elderly (presence of day cares, elementary schools or assisted living facilities)?**

Describe:

There are three Pflugerville ISD Schools near the northern project limit near the intersection of SH 45 and SH 130. Hendrickson High School, Kelly Lane Middle School, and Murchison Elementary School are all located on adjacent campuses (Figure 3.1 and Photos 5 though 7). Additionally, there is a South Rural Community Center with a Senior Nutrition Site near the southern project limit off of FH 973 near SH 71 (Figure 3.13 and Photo 8).



Reset Form

6. Yes Were there any signs of low-income families or neighborhoods (subsidized housing, homes or cars in need of repair, used goods stores, low-cost health care facilities)?

Describe:

The Travis County Community Center at Del Valle is located off of FM 973 near the southern project limit, and it provides community health services including Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) food and nutrition counseling (Photo 9).

7. Yes Are there signs of other modes of transportation?

Yes Are there bus or train stops?

Describe:

Bus stops were observed on side streets near the project, but not on the SH 130 highway facility (Photo 10).

No Are there marked bike lanes or bicycle lane signage?

No Did you observe cyclists in the area?

Yes Are there sidewalks?

Describe:

There are sidewalks on side streets and parks throughout the project area; however, there are no sidewalks on the SH 130 highway facility.

No Did you observe "goat paths" or dirt pathways adjacent to the project area?

8. No Is there any additional information about this community that will be helpful?

9. Yes Is public involvement planned for this project?

Results from the Scope Development Tool

1. No Did the Scope Development Tool identify the need for a residential displacements analysis?

2. No Did the Scope Development Tool identify the need for a commercial displacements analysis?

3. No Did the Scope Development Tool identify the need for an other displacements analysis?

4. No Did the Scope Development Tool identify the need for an access and travel patterns analysis?

5. No Did the Scope Development Tool identify the need for a community cohesion analysis?

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

1. No Will there be displacements?

2. No Will there be access and travel pattern impacts?



Reset Form

- 3. No Will there be community cohesion impacts?
- 4. No Will the community experience any negative impacts to air quality or water quality from increased noise level or from hazardous materials?
- 5. Yes Has the community experienced substantial impacts from past transportations projects such as a new roadway causing large number of displacements or introducing a barrier and separating parts of the community?

Describe:

The original construction of SH 130 was a new location roadway that included large acquisition of ROW, which resulted in displacements.

- 6. No Has the community experienced substantial impacts from any other major projects such as utilities, industry, etc?
- 7. No Is there any mitigation proposed to specifically lessen the severity of these impacts on EJ populations?
- 8. No If there are any impacts to minority or low-income populations would these impacts still be considered disproportionately high and adverse after mitigation has been applied?

NOTE: The conclusion statement should be included in the NEPA document if one is being produced. Upon completion, upload this Documentation Standard to the Community Impacts and EJ section of the Documents page in ECOS. If is concluded that there will be disproportionately high and adverse impacts to EJ communities, consult the CIA handbook or further guidance.

Conclusion: Based on the information above and information in the community profile, will the proposed project have disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority and/or low-income populations?

Although EJ populations are present in the project area, the proposed widening of SH 130 is within existing operational ROW and would not result in disproportionately high or adverse impacts to these populations and are not anticipated to substantially alter the overall character or cohesion of the adjacent communities.

Limited English Proficiency

- 1. Yes Were there LEP persons identified in the project area?

What languages do they speak?

An average of 7.17 percent of the population within the project area block groups is a Spanish-speaking LEP population, an average of 0.38 percent of the project area block groups is an 'Asian and Pacific Island language' speaking LEP population, an average of 0.29 percent of the project area block groups is an 'other Indo-European language' speaking population, and 0.06 percent of the project area block groups in an any 'Other language' LEP population.

- 2. **What public involvement techniques were used or is planned to be used?**

Please note in the response whether public involvement notices are available to view under the Public Involvement or Community Impacts section of ECOS.

In order to comply with EO 13166, public involvement announcements in both English and Spanish would provide opportunities for citizens to request language interpreters. TxDOT would continue to comply with EO 13166 by offering to meet the needs of persons requiring special communication or accommodations in all public involvement activities and notices. Therefore, the requirements of EO 13166 will be met. Public involvement/outreach would continue to be conducted in a manner such that all interested parties would be given an



[Reset Form](#)

opportunity to provide both verbal and written comments concerning the proposed project. This may include but is not limited to: letters sent to adjacent property owners to notify them of the proposed project and invite them to public meetings, notices of public meetings published in newspapers in English and Spanish, and public meeting handouts and comment cards in both English and Spanish.

3. Yes **Was assistance in a language other than English requested or is it anticipated to be requested?**

Describe:

It is anticipated that citizens may request assistance with an English to Spanish interpretation.

4. **How were LEP persons accommodated during the public involvement process?**

Please note in the response if copies of public involvement materials are available to view under the Public Involvement or Community Impacts section of ECOS.

In order to comply with EO 13166, public involvement announcements in both English and Spanish would provide opportunities for citizens to request language interpreters. TxDOT would continue to comply with EO 13166 by offering to meet the needs of persons requiring special communication or accommodations in all public involvement activities and notices. Therefore, the requirements of EO 13166 will be met. Public involvement/outreach would be conducted in a manner such that all interested parties would be given an opportunity to provide both verbal and written comments concerning the proposed project. This may include but is not limited to: letters sent to adjacent property owners to notify them of the proposed project and invite them to public meetings, notices of public meetings published in newspapers in English and Spanish, and public meeting handouts and comment cards in both English and Spanish.

5. Yes **Is any more public involvement planned?**

Yes **Will LEP persons continue to be accommodated?**

NOTE: The conclusion statement should be included in the NEPA document if one is being produced. Upon completion, upload this Documentation Standard to the Community Impacts and EJ section of the Documents page in ECOS.

Conclusion: Based on the information above and public involvement documentation, were LEP persons given the opportunity for meaningful involvement in the NEPA process?

For future public involvement, the necessary steps will be taken to ensure that LEP persons would be given the opportunity for meaningful involvement in the NEPA process.





Prepared By:

Dean Tesmer
Preparer Name


Preparer Signature

Environmental Consultant
Title

6/15/2017
Date



Base Map: ESRI - USA Base Map

Figure 1
Project Location on
County Map
SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71
Travis County, Texas
CSJ: 0440-06-017 and 0440-06-018

 Existing Right-of-way






Figure 2.1
 Study Area
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 and 0440-06-018

-  Census Block Group
-  Census Tract
-  Existing Right-of-way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery








Figure 2.2
 Study Area
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 and 0440-06-018

-  Census Block Group
-  Census Tract
-  Existing Right-of-way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 2.3
 Study Area
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 and 0440-06-018

-  Census Block Group
-  Census Tract
-  Existing Right-of-way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 2.4
 Study Area
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 71
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 and 0440-06-018

-  Census Block Group
-  Census Tract
-  Existing Right-of-way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery






Figure 3.1
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way







Figure 3.2
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.3
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



1:12,000



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.4
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.5
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.6
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.7
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.8
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery






Figure 3.9
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way







Figure 3.10
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



1:12,000



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery








Figure 3.11
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery








Figure 3.12
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery







Figure 3.13
 Community Features
 SH 130 from SH 45 to SH 51
 Travis County, Texas
 CSJ: 0440-06-017 & 0440-06-018

- Adjacent Business
- Community Feature
- Existing Right-of-Way



Base Map: 2016 NAIP Aerial Imagery




Table 1. Population, Race, and Ethnicity

Geography	Total Population	Total Minority Population	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino		White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More Races	
Texas	25,145,561	54.67%	9,460,921	37.62%	15,684,640	62.38%	11,397,345	45.33%	2,886,825	11.48%	80,586	0.32%	948,426	3.77%	17,920	0.07%	33,980	0.14%	319,558	1.27%
Travis County	1,024,266	49.46%	342,766	33.46%	681,500	66.54%	517,644	50.54%	82,805	8.08%	2,611	0.25%	58,404	5.70%	540	0.05%	1,813	0.18%	17,683	1.73%
Census Tract 18.42	7,535	64.30%	3,160	41.94%	4,375	58.06%	2,690	35.70%	973	12.91%	23	0.31%	513	6.81%	6	0.08%	11	0.15%	159	2.11%
Block Group 2	2,471	50.63%	988	39.98%	1,483	60.02%	1,220	49.37%	176	7.12%	7	0.28%	28	1.13%	2	0.08%	4	0.16%	46	1.86%
Block 2012	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2013	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2014	34	47.06%	14	41.18%	20	58.82%	18	52.94%	16	47.06%	2	5.88%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	2	5.88%
Block 2015	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	1	100.00%	1	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2016	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2017	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2018	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2019	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2021	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	3	100.00%	3	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2022	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Census Tract 18.55	13,259	52.24%	3,300	24.89%	9,959	75.11%	6,332	47.76%	2,273	17.14%	38	0.29%	943	7.11%	22	0.17%	24	0.18%	327	2.47%
Block Group 2	2,764	51.81%	646	23.37%	2,118	76.63%	1,332	48.19%	401	14.51%	3	0.11%	313	11.32%	8	0.29%	3	0.11%	58	2.10%
Block 2000	424	61.08%	119	28.07%	305	71.93%	165	38.92%	68	16.04%	2	0.47%	67	15.80%	0	n/a	0	n/a	3	0.71%
Block 2026	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2027	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2028	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 3	3,927	62.26%	1,255	31.96%	2,672	68.04%	1,482	37.74%	882	22.46%	11	0.28%	154	3.92%	9	0.23%	9	0.23%	125	3.18%
Block 3017	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3018	5	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	5	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3059	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3060	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Census Tract 18.56	5,308	59.65%	1,550	29.20%	3,758	70.80%	2,142	40.35%	1,034	19.48%	11	0.21%	422	7.95%	7	0.13%	10	0.19%	132	2.49%
Block Group 1	2,303	55.15%	668	29.01%	1,635	70.99%	1,033	44.85%	441	19.15%	4	0.17%	92	3.99%	5	0.22%	4	0.17%	56	2.43%
Block 1002	81	38.27%	22	27.16%	59	72.84%	50	61.73%	7	8.64%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	2	2.47%	0	n/a
Block 1003	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1004	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1005	10	50.00%	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1008	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1032	8	12.50%	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	7	87.50%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1034	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1073	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1075	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1077	16	75.00%	12	75.00%	4	25.00%	4	25.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 2	3,005	63.09%	882	29.35%	2,123	70.65%	1,109	36.91%	593	19.73%	7	0.23%	330	10.98%	2	0.07%	6	0.20%	76	2.53%

Table 1. Population, Race, and Ethnicity

Geography	Total Population	Total Minority Population	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino		White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More Races			
Block 2000	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2001	9	22.22%	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	7	77.78%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2003	27	77.78%	21	77.78%	6	22.22%	6	22.22%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Census Tract 18.58	15,316	43.76%	3,518	22.97%	11,798	77.03%	8,613	56.24%	1,884	12.30%	45	0.29%	836	5.46%	11	0.07%	9	0.06%	400	2.61%		
Block Group 2	3,005	55.67%	882	29.35%	2,118	70.48%	1,332	44.33%	401	13.34%	3	0.10%	313	10.42%	8	0.27%	3	0.10%	58	1.93%		
Block 2000	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2001	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2002	311	42.44%	79	25.40%	232	74.60%	179	57.56%	29	9.32%	0	0.00%	17	5.47%	4	1.29%	0	0.00%	3	0.96%		
Block 2008	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2022	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2023	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2024	2		0	0.00%	2	100.00%	1	50.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	1	50.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 3	1,563	44.53%	367	23.48%	1,196	76.52%	867	55.47%	116	7.42%	6	0.38%	121	7.74%	0	0.00%	4	0.26%	32	2.05%		
Block 3000	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3001	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3007	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3009	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3021	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	3	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3022	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 3023	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 4	3,319	35.37%	579	17.45%	2,740	82.55%	2,145	64.63%	331	9.97%	8	0.24%	165	4.97%	4	0.12%	3	0.09%	84	2.53%		
Block 4020	171	48.54%	39	22.81%	132	77.19%	88	51.46%	26	15.20%	0	n/a	14	8.19%	0	n/a	0	n/a	4	2.34%		
Census Tract 22.07	8,379	85.21%	4,937	58.92%	3,442	41.08%	1,239	14.79%	1,997	23.83%	14	0.17%	57	0.68%	6	0.07%	31	0.37%	98	1.17%		
Block Group 1	2,846	86.09%	1,877	65.95%	969	34.05%	396	13.91%	501	17.60%	9	0.32%	7	0.25%	0	0.00%	14	0.49%	42	1.48%		
Block 1001	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1002	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1003	12	8.33%	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	11	91.67%	1	8.33%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 2	5,533	84.76%	3,060	55.30%	2,473	44.70%	843	15.24%	1,496	27.04%	5	0.09%	50	0.90%	6	0.11%	17	0.31%	56	1.01%		
Block 2016	9	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	9	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2042	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2043	5	100.00%	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2049	307	80.13%	234	76.22%	73	23.78%	61	19.87%	9	2.93%	1	0.33%	2	0.65%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2053	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2056	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2057	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2069	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2070	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Census Tract 22.10	3,492	59.22%	1,817	52.03%	1,675	47.97%	1,424	40.78%	159	4.55%	19	0.54%	16	0.46%	0	0.00%	4	0.11%	53	1.52%		
Block Group 1	1,030	51.94%	442	42.91%	558	54.17%	495	48.06%	66	6.41%	3	0.29%	10	0.97%	0	0.00%	1	0.10%	13	1.26%		

Table 1. Population, Race, and Ethnicity

Geography	Total Population	Total Minority Population	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino		White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More Races	
Block 1003	67	29.85%	16	23.88%	51	76.12%	47	70.15%	1	1.49%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	3	4.48%
Block 1006	7	85.71%	6	85.71%	1	14.29%	1	14.29%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0.00%
Block1007	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1008	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1009	49	63.27%	25	51.02%	24	48.98%	18	36.73%	4	8.16%	0	n/a	2	4.08%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0.00%
Block 1011	6	100.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0.00%
Block 1012	8	50.00%	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0.00%
Block 1069	71	80.28%	40	56.34%	31	43.66%	14	19.72%	7	9.86%	0	n/a	6	8.45%	0	n/a	0	n/a	4	5.63%
Census Tract 22.12	567	79.54%	328	57.85%	239	42.15%	116	20.46%	117	20.63%	0	0.00%	2	0.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	0.71%
<i>Block Group 1</i>	<i>567</i>	<i>79.54%</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>57.85%</i>	<i>239</i>	<i>42.15%</i>	<i>116</i>	<i>20.46%</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>20.63%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0.35%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0.71%</i>
Block 1005	323	79.88%	185	57.28%	138	42.72%	65	20.12%	71	21.98%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	2	n/a
Block 1006	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1007	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1013	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1014	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1017	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1018	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1020	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1021	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1022	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1023	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1024	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1026	6	50.00%	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1029	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1030	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1031	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1032	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1057	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1071	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1072	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1073	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Census Tract 24.33	5,981	75.15%	3,690	61.70%	2,291	38.30%	1,486	24.85%	608	10.17%	21	0.35%	87	1.45%	0	0.00%	6	0.10%	83	1.39%
<i>Block Group 1</i>	<i>1,793</i>	<i>49.64%</i>	<i>867</i>	<i>48.35%</i>	<i>926</i>	<i>51.65%</i>	<i>903</i>	<i>50.36%</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>4.68%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0.28%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0.22%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0.17%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>1.62%</i>
Block 1041	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1042	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1043	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1045	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1046	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1047	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1048	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a

Table 1. Population, Race, and Ethnicity

Geography	Total Population	Total Minority Population	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino		White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More Races			
Block 1049	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1052	8	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8	100.00%	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 1056	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block Group 2	4,188	83.79%	2,823	67.41%	1,365	32.59%	679	16.21%	524	12.51%	16	0.38%	83	1.98%	0		3	0.07%	60	1.43%		
Block 2007	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Block 2063	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010. Table P9.

Table 2. Median Household Income

Geography	Median household income in the past 12 months (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars)
Texas	\$53,207
Travis County	\$61,451
Census Tract 18.42	\$58,015
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$68,807
Census Tract 18.55	\$75,923
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$96,750
<i>Block Group 3</i>	\$66,468
Census Tract 18.56	\$75,619
<i>Block Group 1</i>	\$74,005
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$77,067
Census Tract 18.58	\$98,317
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$84,289
<i>Block Group 3</i>	\$76,337
<i>Block Group 4</i>	\$126,250
Census Tract 22.07	\$47,478
<i>Block Group 1</i>	\$41,558
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$58,707
Census Tract 22.10	\$68,233
<i>Block Group 1</i>	\$68,950
Census Tract 22.12	\$59,091
<i>Block Group 1</i>	\$59,091
Census Tract 24.33	\$52,037
<i>Block Group 1</i>	\$38,750
<i>Block Group 2</i>	\$56,353

Source: 2011-2015 U.S. Census America Community Survey, Table B19013

Table 3. Limited English Proficiency

Geography	Total Population	Speaks Spanish, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Other Indo-European Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Asian and Pacific Island Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Other Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all	
Texas	24,587,309	1,738,544	7.07%	41,437	0.17%	133,529	0.54%	15,008	0.08%
Travis County	1,043,280	72,101	6.9%	2,259	0.22%	5,774	0.55%	963	0.09%
Census Tract 18.42	9,356	1,530	16.53%	0	0.00%	465	4.97%	0	0.00%
Block Group 2	3,392	595	17.5%	0	0.00%	61	1.79%	0	0.00%
Census Tract 18.55	13,626	153	1.12%	0	0.00%	84	0.61%	0	0.00%
Block Group 2	3,720	36	0.97%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 3	3,847	73	1.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Census Tract 18.56	6,409	414	6.64%	94	1.47%	32	0.73%	7	0.10%
Block Group 1	2,681	201	7.94%	106	3.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 2	3,728	213	5.71%	0	0.00%	47	1.26%	7	0.19%
Census Tract 18.58	16,892	274	1.62%	0	0.00%	93	0.55%	34	0.20%
Block Group 2	5,329	45	0.84%	0	0.00%	120	2.25%	34	0.64%
Block Group 3	1,100	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 4	3,008	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Census Tract 22.07	8,794	1,444	16.42%	9	0.10%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 1	2,763	576	20.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 2	6,031	868	14.39%	9	0.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Census Tract 22.10	3,195	290	9.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 1	974	36	3.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Census Tract 22.12	815	119	14.6%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Block Group 2	815	119	14.6%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Table 3. Limited English Proficiency

Geography	Total Population	Speaks Spanish, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Other Indo-European Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Asian and Pacific Island Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all		Speaks Other Languages, Speaks English not well, or not at all	
Census Tract 24.33	6,239	409	6.55%	0	0.00%	58	0.93%	0	0.00%
<i>Block Group 1</i>	<i>1,800</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>4.56%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>
<i>Block Group 2</i>	<i>4,439</i>	<i>327</i>	<i>7.37%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.00%</i>

Source: 2011-2015 U.S. Census American Community Survey, Table B16004



Photo 1. Signs posted in English and Spanish at Northeast Metropark



Photo 2. Signs posted in English and Spanish at Lake Walter Long Metropolitan Park



Photo 3. Spanish language advertisements for mobile homes near southern project limits



Photo 4. Spanish language advertisement near intersection of FM 734 and SH 130



Photo 5. Hendrickson High School near northern project limit



Photo 6. Murchison Elementary School near northern project limit



Photo 7. Kelly Lane Middle School near northern project limit



Photo 8. South Rural Community Center near southern project limit (FM 71)



Photo 9. Travis County Community Center at Del Valle near southern project limit



Photo 10. Bus stop next to Travis County Community Center at Del Valle



Photo 11. River Road Baptist Church off FM 969 near intersection with SH 130



Photo 12. Representative view of agriculture typical along project corridor, near FM 1825



Photo 13. Representative view of Stone Hill Town Center strip mall near SH 45 and SH 130



Photo 14. Representative view of new construction typical of northern section of the project, near Pflugerville Parkway and SH 130



Photo 15. Representative view of housing near Hendrickson High School at the northern project limit