The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by TxDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 16, 2014, and executed by FHWA and TxDOT.
Introduction

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) proposes to improve approximately 2.5 miles of Loop 336 South from Interstate Highway (IH) 45 to Farm-to-Market (FM) 1314 in Conroe, Montgomery County, Texas (Figure 1). The proposed project would create a divided 4-lane highway by constructing a 2 lane roadway to the south of the existing roadway. The new lanes would serve as the east bound lanes with the existing lanes being converted to serve west bound traffic. The existing Loop 336 (from East of Cypress Lane Boulevard to West of FM 1314) consists of one 13.5-foot lane (west bound lane) and one 12 foot lane (east bound lane) with an 8-foot outside shoulder, within a 300-foot right-of-way (ROW). The proposed Loop 336 westbound lanes would consist of one 13.5-foot lane and one 12 foot lane with an 8-foot outside shoulder. The proposed eastbound lanes would consist of two 12-foot lanes, a 15-foot outside shoulder, and a 10-foot hike and bike trail. The hike and bike trail would be offset from the shoulder by 10-foot. An approximate 192-foot grassy median would be located between the eastbound and westbound lanes.

Similarly, the existing Loop 336 at FM 1314 consists of one 13.5-foot lane and one 12-foot lane (westbound lanes), a center left turn lane, two 12-foot lanes (eastbound lanes) and an 8-foot outside shoulder. The proposed Loop 336 at FM 1314 westbound lanes would consist of one 13.5-foot lane, two 12-foot lanes, one 12-foot left turn lane, and a 20-foot inside shoulder. The eastbound lanes would consist of one 12-foot left turn lane, two 12-lanes, a 15-foot outside shoulder, and a 10-foot hike and bike trail. The hike and bike trail would be offset from the shoulder by 10-foot. An approximate 142-foot grassy median would be located between the eastbound and westbound lanes (see Attached Project Schematics).

The proposed project is being funded by the TxDOT using federal funds. Since the project is being built with federal transportation money on land owned or controlled by the State of Texas, it is considered an undertaking subject to the provisions outlined under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106) and the Antiquities Code of Texas (ACT).

The Area of Potential Effects (APE) for archeological resources for this undertaking is defined as the footprint of the proposed project to the maximum depth of impact, including all easements, and project specific locations. Therefore, the APE for archeological resources will cover a total distance of approximately 6.4 miles and encompass an area of approximately 105 acres, all of which will take place entirely within existing ROW (Figure 2). The vertical APE (depth of impacts) is estimated to extend no more than three to five feet into the subsurface. Project schematics provided by the Houston District are attached.
Physical Setting

The APE is located within the Southern Tertiary Uplands, a sub region of the South Central Plains ecoregion (Griffith et al. 2007). This ecoregion, which is locally known as the “piney woods”, is characterized by irregular plains and “represents the western edge of the southern coniferous forest belt” (Griffith et al. 2007:87). The Southern Tertiary Uplands encompasses approximately 7667 square miles and consists of “dissected irregular plains with some low, rolling hills; low to moderate gradient streams with sandy and silty substrates” (Griffith et al. 2007:91). Historically, natural vegetation consisted of upland longleaf pine-bluestem (*Pinus palustris-Schizachyrium* spp. and *Andropogon* spp.) woodlands, shortleaf pine-hardwood forests (*Pinus echinata-Quercus* spp.), mixed hardwood-loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) forests, American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*) or magnolia-beech-forests, bogs, and sandstone glades. Bog plant species include southern sweetbay (*Magnolia virginiana*), hollies or gallberry (*Ilex* spp.), wax-myrtles (*Morella* spp.), insectivorous plants, orchids, and wild azalea (*Rhododendron* spp.). Today, pine forest is the dominant vegetation type with National Forest land making up large parts of this region (Griffith et al. 2007).

The APE is underlain by the Tertiary-age Willis Formation (Pow), which is composed mostly of clay, silt, sand, and siliceous granule to pebble gravel (BEG 1992). According to the United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS 2016) Web Soil Survey (2016), soils within the APE consist of eight soil groups (Table 1). Soils are characterized by loam to very fine sandy loam with restrictive features, such as clay, encountered as shallow as 36 centimetres below the ground surface.

Table 1. Soil Groups Found within the Project Area (USDA-NRCS 2016; Abbott 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Landform/Topographic Position</th>
<th>Parent Material</th>
<th>Solum Thickness</th>
<th>Geoarch. Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atasco fine sandy loam</td>
<td>2 to 5</td>
<td>Terraces/Upland</td>
<td>Loamy alluvium derived from igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe gravelly loamy fine sand</td>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>Interfluves/Upland</td>
<td>Sandy and gravelly fluviomarine deposits over clayey fluviomarine deposits</td>
<td>60-100+</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroe loamy fine sand</td>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>Interfluves/Upland</td>
<td>Clayey marine deposits</td>
<td>60-100+</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Hatliff-Pluck-Kian complex  | 0 to 1| Flood Plains/Forested Floodplain | Loam alluvium of Holocene-age  
Loamy alluvium               | 10               | High                            |
控 | Loamy alluvium               | -                | -                                |
控 | Loamy alluvium               | -                | -                                |
| Landman fine sand           | 0 to 3| Stream Terraces/-            | Loamy alluvium and/or sandy alluvium                                            | -               | -                  |
| Lilbert loamy               | -     | Interfluves                  | Loamy marine deposits                                                          | -               | -                  |
**Current Setting**  
Located in southeast Conroe, Texas, the APE is within and surrounded by undeveloped woodland. The existing two-lane undivided FM 336 roadway parallels an approximately 100-foot wide cleared area (Figure 3) for much of its length. Topographically, the APE is hilly with elevations ranging from 141 to 184 feet above mean sea level. The APE crosses Stewarts Creek and abuts Little Caney Creek at its easternmost terminus. Both creeks empty into the West Fork of the San Jacinto River located roughly 3.5 kilometers to the south and southwest of the APE. Disturbances within the APE are minimal and include land clearing activities and those associated with the construction of roads, such as artificially levelled and paved surfaces (Figure 4).

**Archeological Background and Previous Archeological Studies**

Background research for this project consisted of an online records search through the Texas Historical Commission’s Archeological Sites Atlas (Atlas; 2016) and a review of historical maps and aerial photographs. Research focused on the identification of archeological sites, sites listed as State Antiquities Landmarks (SALs), Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks (RTHLs), sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), cemeteries, and previously conducted archeological surveys within one kilometer (0.62 mile) of the APE (Figure 5). The search revealed that no previously recorded archeological sites, SALs, RTHLs, or sites listed on the NRHP fall within one kilometer (0.62 mile) of the APE. However, one cemetery and 12 previously conducted archeological surveys (Table 2) are near or transect the APE.

The Conroe Memorial Park Cemetery (Cemetery ID Number: MQ-C011) is situated approximately 825 meters to the north of the APE. The cemetery opened in 1944 and reportedly contains over three thousand internments. It is still active. The proposed undertaking will not have any foreseen effects on the cemetery.

A total of 12 previously conducted archeological surveys fall within one kilometer (0.62 mile; Table 2). Of these, only three intersect with the project area and none of the archeological surveys resulted in the recording of new archeological sites within or near the APE.

Table 2. Archeological Surveys that intersect the APE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fieldwork Year</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Sponsor or Agency</th>
<th>TAC Permit</th>
<th>Type (Linear or Area)/Size</th>
<th>Newly Recorded Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear/Unknown</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>EPA – TWDB</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear/Unknown</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Blanton &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>FHWA/TxDOT</td>
<td>4314</td>
<td>Area/5,280 Feet</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Historic Land Use

Conroe, the county seat of Montgomery County, was established when Houston lumberman Isaac Conroe moved his sawmill from Stewarts Creek to the International-Great Northern Railroad’s (I-GN) Houston-Crockett line. Conroe’s sawmill became a station along the I & GN Railroad and by 1884. Around this time, the Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe Railway’s Navasota-Montgomery spur was built through Conroe forming the only major junction of rail lines in Montgomery County. Settlers moved to the region with the prospect of profiting from the region’s lumber boom. As a result, in 1889, the population had reached 300 residents. Situated along a major rail line junction, By 1892, Conroe also supported five steam-powered saw and planning mills, several brickyards, a cotton gin, a gristmill, several hotels and general stores, and supported a population of 500 residents. Conroe became an important shipping point for lumber, cotton, livestock, tobacco, and bricks (Jackson 2016). Both the agricultural and timber industries continued to thrive through the early twentieth century, but was curtailed with the dwindling supply of local timber and the Great Depression. In 1931, George W. Strake discovered oil seven miles to the southeast of Conroe, which temporarily stimulated the local economy. A revival of the lumber industry, the construction of Interstate Highway 45, and the impounding of the West Fork of the San Jacinto River forming Lake Conroe all helped to promote growth within the community. Today, the City of Conroe supports 63,322 residents (Jackson 2016).

According to a 1901 General Land Office Map of Montgomery County, Texas, the APE crosses land originally patented by Hansom House and A. M. Folks (Figure 6). A 1938 USDA Map of the Sam Houston National Forest depicts the APE as devoid of development with the exception of a pipeline owned by the Prairie Pipe Line Company, which intersects FM 336 near its current intersection with Stewarts Forest Drive (Figure 7). By 1953, two additional pipelines are visible transecting the APE and FM 1314 is present at the project area’s easternmost end. However, the rest of the project area remained forested and relatively free of development at this time (Figure 8). Urban development began to encroach upon the project area by the late 1970s (Figure 9) with Loop 336 constructed prior to 1989. The actual APE and its immediate surrounding, however, remain forested.

### Archeological Site Potential

Historic aerial photographs and maps depict no residential or commercial development within the APE at any time during the nineteenth to mid-twentieth century. Therefore, based on the information provided above, there is low potential for historic-period archeological materials to be present within
the APE. However, the potential for prehistoric archeological sites is considered to be high. The Potential Archeological Liability Mapping of the Houston District (PALM; Abbott 2001), classifies the project area as Map Units 1, 2, and 4 (Figure 10). While no survey is recommended for those areas located within Map Unit 4, survey is recommended for areas classified as Map Units 1 and 2. In addition, the presence of sandy terraces overlooking natural waterways increases the potential for intact prehistoric sites within the project area. Finally, the landscape has remained relatively unchanged and lacks any major disturbances. Therefore, a survey for archeological sites is recommended within the APE.

Summary and Recommendations

To summarize, historical maps and aerial photographs indicate that the potential for historic-period archeological sites within the APE is low. On the other hand, topographic and soils maps, the presence of natural waterways, a lack of disturbances, and the Houston PALM all suggest that there is a good potential for intact prehistoric archeological remains to be present within the APE. This background study recommends that an archeological survey is warranted for the APE. The survey should include shovel testing and backhoe trenching at Stewarts Creek, where there is potential for deeply buried archeological materials.
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Figure 1. The project location in southeast Conroe, Montgomery County, Texas.
Figure 2. The APE depicted on 2016 aerial imagery.
Figure 3. The approximately 30-meter wide cleared area that parallels Loop 336, photographed facing west (Source: Google Earth).

Figure 4. Typical disturbances observed within the APE, photographed facing east (Source: Google Earth).
Figure 5. Results of the Atlas search with one kilometer (0.62 mile) buffer around the APE.
Figure 6. Detail from a 1901 General Land Office Map of Montgomery County, Texas depicting the approximate location of the APE.
Figure 7. The project area overlain onto a 1938 USDA Map of Sam Houston National Forest.
Figure 8. A 1953 aerial photograph depicting the location of the APE.
Figure 9. The APE depicted on a 1977 aerial photograph.
Figure 10. Map Units within the APE as defined by the Houston PALM.
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