



	<u>Page</u>
Table 3-1	Typical Right-of-Way Widths 3-4
Table 3-2	Railroad Crossings 3-9
Table 3-3	Year 2002 Daily Traffic Volumes on SH 288 3-11
Table 3-4	Level-of-Service Definitions 3-13
Table 3-5	Accident Rates 3-14
Table 3-6	Projected Household Growth Rates 3-18
Table 3-7	Job Growth Forecast 3-20
Table 4-1	Evaluation Criteria 4-8
Table 4-2	Traffic and Mobility Ratings for the Initial Alternatives 4-11
Table 4-3	Environmental and Socioeconomic Ratings for the Initial Alternatives 4-14
Table 4-4	Engineering and Cost Considerations for the Initial Alternatives 4-16
Table 4-5	Overall Ratings for the Initial Alternatives 4-20
Table 5-1	Characteristics of Viable Alternatives A, B, C, & D 5-3
Table 5-2	Characteristics of Viable Alternatives E & F 5-6
Table 5-3	Evaluation Criteria for Viable Alternatives 5-8
Table 5-4	Traffic/Mobility Ratings for Viable Alternatives 5-16
Table 5-5	Annual Vehicle Operating Cost Savings 5-18
Table 5-6	Annual Travel Time Savings 5-18
Table 5-7	Accident Cost Savings 5-19
Table 5-8	Total Travel Efficiency Benefits 5-19
Table 5-9	Average Additional Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs 5-20
Table 5-10	Summary of Cost Effectiveness 5-21
Table 5-11	Economic Ratings for Viable Alternatives 5-22
Table 5-12	Socioeconomic Impacts 5-24
Table 5-13	Wetlands 5-27
Table 5-14	Environmental Ratings for Viable Alternatives 5-28
Table 5-15	Engineering Rating Definitions 5-30
Table 5-16	Right-of-Way Requirements for Viable Alternatives 5-31
Table 5-17	Overall Constructability Costs for Viable Alternatives 5-33
Table 5-18	Net Cost Summary for Viable Alternatives 5-34
Table 5-19	Engineering Ratings for Viable Alternatives 5-36
Table 5-20	Summary of Detailed Evaluation 5-42

Tables



Table 6-1	Summary of Feasibility Indicators for the Most Feasible Alternative.....	6-8
Table 6-2	Overall Constructability Cost for the Most Feasible Alternative	6-12
Table 6-3	Net Cost Summary for the Most Feasible Alternative.....	6-12