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1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document details the universe of alternatives and summarizes the recommended concepts for preliminary alternatives for the expansion of Loop 375 César Chávez Highway (Border Highway West Extension) in El Paso, Texas. This assessment is a component of the ongoing development of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the corridor. The proposed project is approximately 13.8 miles and would provide a continuous route beginning at Interstate 10 (I-10) east of State Highway (SH) 20 (Mesa Street) to Sunland Park Drive continuing on United States Highway (US) 85 then connecting to Loop 375 to end at US 54 as proposed by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The proposed project is part of an alternate route to provide congestion relief for I-10, an east-west facility north of the proposed project. A map of the project location is shown in Exhibit 1.
2.0 DEVELOPMENT OF UNIVERSE OF ALTERNATIVES

The proposed Border Highway West Extension project is a continuation of several previous studies which recommended various alternatives to complete Loop 375 around the City of El Paso. Loop 375 César Chávez Highway currently ends at Santa Fe Street and extension further west to connect to US 85 is complicated by at-grade railroad tracks. Previous studies looked at alternatives to extend the Border Highway West beyond Santa Fe along new alignment to connect with US 85, which provided a connection to I-10. To accomplish this, alternatives were developed to either pass one level below grade, under the railroad and American Canal or two levels above grade to pass over the Santa Fe and Stanton Street international bridges, as well as the railroad and canal. For this project the development of the universe of alternatives took into account all relevant previous studies and recommendations.

2.1 Previous Studies and Recommendations

Several studies were completed prior to the current environmental study for this project. These documents included: the 1994 Value Engineering (VE) Study Summary Report, the I-10 West Corridor Major Investment Study (MIS), the Loop 375 César Chávez (Border Highway West) Extension Route Study, the I-10 Southern Relief Route Conceptual Toll Feasibility Study, and the I-10 Southern Relief Route Mobility and Funding Study.

2.1.1 1994 Value Engineering Study Summary Report

A value engineering (VE) study for the extension of Loop 375 was completed on January 28, 1994. This study evaluated revisions to the project typical section, revisions to alignments, profiles, and addition of future facilities to improve traffic flow.

The objectives of the VE study were to determine improvements to the proposed design such as alignment and profile, develop a conceptual plan that takes into consideration Franklin Canal revisions proposed by the International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC), and minimize right-of-way (ROW) and environmental impacts and mitigation requirements. The VE study evaluated the proposed six lane divided roadway and determined that a four lane typical section would adequately accommodate the projected traffic. The VE study recommended an elevated structure since it would provide the best opportunity to construct the proposed facility. Where ROW conflicts could be avoided, the study recommended a lower profile. The study also recommended that access to the downtown area be provided from the east and west through US 85 (Paisano Drive).

2.1.2 I-10 West Corridor Major Investment Study

The TxDOT El Paso District completed the I-10 West Corridor Major Investment Study (MIS) in 1999. The MIS, begun in 1997, consisted of a 23-mile I-10 West Corridor from the New Mexico state line to US 54. The study also included a portion of US 54 from Loop 375 (Border Highway) north to Trowbridge Drive.

The MIS was a comprehensive, multimodal study to determine the long and short term transportation needs of the I-10 West corridor. Initially, 20 alternatives were developed,
including a no build alternative. These were analyzed, and based on initial screening criteria; six alternatives were identified for refined development and evaluation. The six alternatives were:

- No Build
- Transportation System Management (TSM)
- I-10 Express Lanes
  Two additional general purpose lanes (one in each direction) would be added from the New Mexico state line to Sunland Park Drive. Four express lanes (two in each direction) would be added from Sunland Park Drive to US 54. The express lanes would be available to all I-10 traffic but would be separated from general use lanes.
- Doniphan/Paisano Freeway
  This alternative consists of reconstructing Doniphan Drive and Paisano Drive as a continuous freeway. Doniphan Drive would be connected to I-10 at Transmountain Road. Paisano Drive would be connected to the Border Highway. This would complete Loop 375 on El Paso’s west side and provide an alternate route to I-10.
- Arterial 1/Tunnel
  This alternative would consist of constructing a new east-west roadway from Fred Wilson Road, through the Franklin Mountains (via tunnel), to I-10 and Paisano Drive.
- Localized Improvements.
  This alternative consisted of several smaller projects that would improve traffic conditions in localized areas. Mesa Street improvements would add continuous right turn lanes from I-10 to Executive Center and add interchanges at Resler Drive and Sunland Park Drive.

Also included were I-10 frontage roads from Mesa Street to Executive Center Boulevard, the extension of Stanton Street, Bartlett Drive to Southwestern Drive to Northwestern Drive extension, the extension of Schuster Avenue to Paisano Drive, and the widening of Transmountain Road.

The recommended alternative of the MIS included improvements to I-10 and various other roads in the study area as included in the Modified Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), which were based on mobility benefits, potential environmental effects, cost, and public comments. By definition, this recommendation also included no build and TSM alternative improvements. A maximum service transit option was also recommended in conjunction with the roadway improvements.

The Modified MTP alternative would connect Doniphan Drive, Paisano Drive, and the Border Highway; creating a continuous alternate route to I-10, and addressing congestion on I-10, Mesa Street, Transmountain Road, Sunland Park Drive, and Executive Center Boulevard. Transit improvements would include increased bus routes, increased frequency of service, and additional transfer facilities. This alternative not only would provide mobility benefits through the year 2020 but would also retain the flexibility to implement the I-10 express lanes component beyond 2020 if such improvements are warranted. Further, the implementation of I-10 frontage roads was also retained for further consideration.
2.1.3 Loop 375 César Chávez (Border Highway West) Extension Route Study

A Route Study Report for Loop 375 César Chávez Highway (Border Highway West) Extension was prepared in July, 2005. The project objectives were:

- Enhanced east-west mobility in El Paso;
- Improved projected level of service in the study area;
- Improved regional access;
- Improved safety and reduced incident delay for the traveling public;
- Minimized impacts to the human and natural environment.

Nine alternatives were evaluated in the study and a ranking matrix was used to evaluate the alternatives on the basis of a list of project criteria. These criteria subjects included:

- Project objectives;
- Traffic planning/mobility;
- Engineering design and construction;
- Environmental.

All of the possible combinations were summarized through the use of the ranking matrix to obtain the preferred alternatives. An estimated cost was developed for each alternative to determine the costs of the preferred alternative combinations. The alternatives analysis resulted in the selection of the combination A-C1-E-G as the preferred feasible alternative. The components of this alternative are described as follows.

Alternative A would follow the existing US 85 (Paisano Drive) alignment and would be partially at-grade. There would be a diamond interchange at the relocated and elevated Executive Center Boulevard. The alignment would encroach on the IBWC’s American Canal east of ASARCO. The open paved trapezoidal ditch would have to be realigned and replaced with a box culvert.

Alternative C1 follows US 85 and then parallels the existing American Canal on new alignment. The alignment would be elevated from the Schuster Avenue/UTEP area at ASARCO to where Loop 375 turns south and diverges from US 85. A three level diamond interchange would be located at the extended and realigned Schuster Avenue. The existing Paisano Drive would be realigned at grade under the elevated mainlanes. It would serve as a two-lane, two-way access road to adjoining properties. Loop 375, Border Highway West, would be at level 2 and Schuster Avenue would be at level 3.

Alternative E would be elevated its entire length from the BNSF Paisano Rail Yard to east of Park Street. The alignment would be parallel to the American Canal to a point east of the Santa Fe Bridge. It would then follow the existing LP 375 alignment to a point east of Park Street.

Alternative G would be elevated from east of Park Street to just west of the Franklin Canal, where it would return to an at-grade facility and connect to the existing LP 375. A diamond interchange would be added at Coles Street, providing access to the El Paso central business district.
2.1.4 I-10 Southern Relief Route Conceptual Toll Feasibility Study

In early 2005, the TxDOT El Paso District requested that the Texas Turnpike Authority Division (TTA) conduct a conceptual level toll feasibility study to determine the feasibility of adding barrier separated express toll lanes to the Loop 375 César Chávez Border Highway West Extension project; in this study the corridor is referred to as the I-10 Southern Relief Route. These initial conceptual studies identified conceptual funding gaps for each of the studied segments ranging from $2.7 million to $159.8 million and averaging nearly $50 million. The cost estimates used for this conceptual toll feasibility study were based on planning level estimates for anticipated roadway and bridge improvements. They were not based on layouts with specific locations for pavement edges, bridge limits, retaining walls, interchanges and ramps.

2.1.5 I-10 Southern Relief Route Mobility and Funding Study

One of the more recent studies, the I-10 Southern Relief Route (SRR) Mobility and Funding Study (MFS), was completed in 2006. For clarification the general alignment and limits of Loop 375 César Chávez Border Highway West project fall within the alignment and limits of the I-10 SRR. The objective of the I-10 SRR MFS was to build upon the previous toll feasibility study, focusing on updating the three critical elements: tolled traffic projections, tolling concept diagrams, and project costs.

A desirable conceptual layout was developed for each segment of the project, utilizing the most desirable typical section that could be accommodated and the most desirable access, such as grade-separated ramps, wherever possible. A more economical conceptual layout was developed for those areas where the desirable express toll lane typical section could not be accommodated within the existing ROW or required significant alterations to the existing pavement.

Two-lane, two-way barrier-separated express toll lanes were added to the median for the majority of the I-10 SRR to provide additional capacity to the existing non-tolled lanes. The desirable typical section has barriers separating the toll lanes from the non-tolled lanes. A four-lane two-way elevated express toll lane facility was proposed between the I-10/US 85 interchange and the LP 375/US 54 interchange. All existing lanes would continue to operate as non-tolled facilities.

Based on the study conclusions and results of the related public outreach program, the El Paso MPO Transportation Policy Board (TPB) voted on May 19, 2006 to approve the I-10 SRR as El Paso’s priority relief route. With this vote, the TPB took action to:

- Support a regional system of express toll lanes while accommodating and maintaining the existing system of non-tolled lanes;
- Approve the I-10 Southern Relief Route as the priority relief route; and
- Direct TxDOT and the MPO staff to incorporate the I-10 Southern Relief Route segments into the appropriate planning documents.

On July 28, 2006, the TPB rescinded their May 19, 2006 I-10 SRR approval vote based on several ongoing discussions regarding funding priorities for the region. At this meeting they also voted to establish a committee to further study and compare transportation infrastructure improvement alternatives for the region. The committee was charged with assessing the
various alternatives proposed for the region and to develop a financial plan that would prioritize the various projects. The financial plan was required to be based on the fiscal constraints of the region’s funding sources; and provide the most overall benefit to the community.

On August 24, 2007 the TPB approved the El Paso MPO TransBorder Mobility Plan - Financial Plan, which included portions of the Loop 375 César Chávez Border Highway West Extension project. The TPB directed staff to further refine the projects identified in this plan and ultimately include them in the MPO’s long-range 2035 TransBorder Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). At this time the MPO is in the process of developing this 2035 TransBorder MTP; all elements of the plan are subject to change as the plan is refined.

2.2 Evaluation of Universe of Alternatives

2.2.1 Development of Alternative Concepts

All previous studies recognized a need for Loop 375 to be completed in order to serve as an alternate route to I-10. The alternatives and recommendations from the previous studies were analyzed together with the updated environmental constraints map (shown in Exhibits 2 through 4) to determine current feasibility. In addition, initial coordination meetings were held with participating and cooperating agencies to present study area information to governmental and agency officials, and thus receive feedback on resource mapping, the project coordination plan, schedule, and methodologies to be used in the development and analysis of alternatives.

Information gathered on environmental constraints along with the recommendations from previous studies was used to perform a fatal flaw analysis. Recommendations carried forward were used to generate preliminary concepts to present to the public for input in establishing the preliminary alternatives to be studied further. Alternative modes were analyzed in detail in the I-10 West Corridor MIS. The study team agrees with those recommendations, which included maximizing existing bus transit service and roadway improvements, as the recommended modes to be carried forward. In addition, it is recommended that a No-build alternative and TSM/TDM alternative be carried forward as well.

Since the project area between the US 85/I-10 interchange and US 54 contains significant environmental constraints on both sides of the existing roadways, all of the preliminary concepts follow the existing roadway alignments. The project area is located adjacent to the Rio Grande River to the south and west and steep grades to the north and east. These constraints do not allow for a viable alternative parallel facility along either side of the existing US 85. The preliminary concepts consist of various modifications to the typical sections of the existing roadways in order to add the four additional lanes. A new location portion is proposed to connect the existing Loop 375 to the existing US 85 facility. This new location area is constrained by the Rio Grande River, American Canal and two rail lines.

As a result of the fatal flaw analysis, design concepts carried forward from the recently completed Southern Relief Route Mobility and Funding Study were used to develop one of the tolled alternatives. Design concepts from the Loop 375 César Chávez Highway (Border Highway West) Extension Route Study were used for development of the non-tolled alternatives. The concept to depress the four new lanes under the international bridges in the Loop 375 Extension Route Study was applied to the I-10 SRR tolled alternative to develop a
second tolled alternative. These alternatives are consistent with the 1-10 West Corridor MIS. While the new four-lane extension of César Chávez Highway will connect with I-10, the alternatives will also provide an interchange near Race Track Drive to provide a connection between Doniphan and Paisano, providing an alternative route to I-10. All of the design concepts that were carried forward from the universe of alternatives (previous studies) include the following elements:

- I-10 from Mesa Street to US 85 interchange – one additional lane in each direction
- US 85 from I-10 interchange to US 62/Paisano – Two elevated lanes in each direction above the existing four-lane facility
- New location portion from US 85 near US 62/Paisano to Loop 375 – two elevated lanes in each direction
- Loop 375 through downtown – Option 1 – Two elevated lanes in each direction over existing four-lane facility – Option 2 – Two below grade lanes in each direction under existing four-lane facility
- Loop 375 from downtown to US 54 – Three non-tolled main lanes in each direction

2.2.2 Public Input on Alternative Concepts

The concepts described above were assembled and presented to the public at the first series of public scoping meetings on October 23 and 30, 2007. The alternative concepts consisted of the following:

- No-Build Alternative
- TSM/TDM Alternative
- Mass Transit Alternative
- Design Alternative 1 – Non-Tolled (Elevated at International Bridges)
- Design Alternative 2 – Non-Tolled (Depressed at International Bridges)
- Design Alternative 3 – Tolled (Elevated at International Bridges)
- Design Alternative 4 – Tolled (Depressed at International Bridges)

Exhibits were presented which explained and described each of the alternatives and public input was solicited on these alternatives through public comment forms. Input was requested on the alternatives presented as well as any additional alternatives that should be considered. This input was summarized in the Public Scoping Meeting Summary Report.

2.2.3 Recommendation of Preliminary Alternatives for Further Study

Public input received at the first series of public scoping meetings was incorporated into the design team’s recommendations for Preliminary Alternatives to be carried forward for further study.

The design alternatives consist of two non-tolled alternatives and two tolled alternatives. These alternatives are very similar; however, the tolled alternatives will have different ramp configurations and may be more complex at locations where constraints or ROW availability limits the space for the facilities. In all of the design alternatives, the existing at-grade lanes would change to a local street functional classification, providing access to adjacent properties, while the new lanes would serve as an expressway or principal arterial.
The Preliminary Alternatives are described as follows:

**Alternative 1 - No-Build**
This alternative consists of no improvements to the Border Highway West Extension project, but includes other improvements planned as part of the current MPO plan.

**Alternative 2 - TSM/TDM**
This alternative could consist of operational improvements along the existing I-10 corridor, or along portions of I-10, US 85, Paisano, Santa Fe and César Chávez Highway (Loop 375).

**Alternative 3 - Mass Transit**
This alternative was previously dropped from the I-10 West MIS study. If the previous assessment remains unchanged, this alternative may be dropped and does not need to be carried forward as one of the recommended preliminary alternatives. It could be documented in the DEIS following the discussion of previous studies that this alternative is not viable.

**Alternative 4 – Non-Tolled (Elevated at International Bridges)** – This alternative would provide one additional lane in each direction on I-10 with connections to the existing four-lane US 85 facility. East of Executive Center Blvd, a new four-lane section rises and straddles US 85/Paisano Drive, a four-lane at-grade facility; a variation in this area would be to construct the facility at grade. East of Yandell, the elevated facility shifts south and straddles over an existing railroad, eventually passing over the Santa Fe and Stanton Street international bridges as well as Park Street, Coles Street, the Franklin Canal and UPRR. East of the UPRR crossing, the four elevated lanes drop to an at-grade section near Bowie High School and San Marcial Street, continuing as a six-lane section to US 54.

**Alternative 5 – Non-Tolled (Depressed at International Bridges)** – This alternative is identical to the previous non-tolled alternative with one exception, instead of passing over the international bridges, the new four-lane facility descends from elevated over the railroad to one level below grade and passes under the two international bridges before rising back to grade and joining the existing facility which will become 6-lanes over the UPRR and continuing as such to US 54.

**Alternative 6 – Tolled (Elevated at International Bridges)** – This alternative is identical to Non-Tolled Alternative 4 which is elevated over the international bridges, with the exception that the new lanes will be separated at all times from the existing non-tolled lanes, until reaching the overpass at the UPRR east of US 54 where the toll lanes will combine with the existing facility and continue as a six-lane non-tolled facility to US 54.

**Alternative 7 – Tolled (Depressed at International Bridges)** – This alternative is identical to the Non-Tolled Alternative 5, which is depressed under the international bridges, with the exception that the new lanes will be separated at all times from the existing non-tolled lanes, until reaching the overpass at the UPRR east of US 54 where the toll lanes will combine with the existing facility and continue as a six-lane non-tolled facility to US 54.

For further clarification Alternatives 4 and 5 are depicted graphically on Exhibit 5; Alternatives 6 and 7 are shown on Exhibit 6. These alternatives represent the design team’s recommendations for Preliminary Alternatives to be carried forward for further study for the Loop 375 César Chávez Border Highway West Extension project.