



Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

Rider 14f STIP Report FY 2016

Transportation Planning and Programming

Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) - Rider 14f STIP Report

This report addresses SB 1 (Rider 14f) - State Transportation Improvement Program. For each fiscal year (FY) in the biennium, the Department of Transportation shall provide a report, with results statewide by district, on the percentage of projects listed in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that were let on or before the letting date provided in the STIP (reported by fiscal year).

The project data in this report came directly from TxDOT's 2013-2016 and the 2015-2018 STIP, and subsequent revisions to that program, made available to the public on TxDOT's website. TxDOT's Design-Construction Information System (DCIS) was used to generate comprehensive project lists for each TxDOT district to analyse and validate the information contained in this report.

The official percentage of projects let to construction (i.e., number of projects let divided by number of projects listed and counted) in the individual districts include projects listed in both the rural and Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) (including revisions) for fiscal year FY 2016. These percentages are shown in blue in Table 1.

While staff analyzed all projects for FY 2016, only projects listed in the 2013-2016 and 2015-2018 STIP document with anticipated letting dates in FY 2016 were used to calculate the official percentages. The project totals, and official percentages highlighted in blue in Table 1 do not include:

- 1) Transit projects with multi-year contract dates and no specific letting dates;
- 2) Locally funded regionally significant projects not let by TxDOT;
- 3) Any project type (including highway projects in DCIS) that did not list a letting date in FY 2016;
- 4) Projects listed with activities other than construction or cancelled;
- 5) Projects that were not listed individually, i.e. "grouped"; and
- 6) Any project not listed in the 2013-2016 and 2015-2018 STIP.

There were many projects let in FY 2016 in addition to those listed. These non-listed or "grouped" projects, (exempt by Federal Highway Administration definition and therefore not required to be listed individually in a TIP/STIP) were identified using reports from DCIS, and were analysed but not factored into the official percentages highlighted in blue. The exclusion of grouped projects in the percentage calculations resulted in much lower percentages for some districts that would, in fact, have higher percentages if the grouped projects were included in the calculation.

Table 2 shows the number of non-listed projects in each district that were let in FY 2016, and a revised percentage for each district if the non-listed projects were counted in addition to those shown in the TIP/STIP. The totals and percentages in the last two columns are distinct in nature and represent data not factored into the official percentages highlighted in blue.

Projects may be removed or delayed from a scheduled letting due to: environmental clearances, federal reporting requirements, funding constraints, local agreements, or advertising requirements not met.

Table 1. District LET Percentages

FY 2016				
Districts	* Projects (total) <u>listed</u> Individually in TIP / STIP in FY 2016	Projects <u>listed</u> in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2016	Projects listed in TIP/STIP Not LET	Official FY 2016 Percentage
Abilene	NA	NA	NA	NA
Amarillo	6	6	0	100%
Atlanta	1	1	0	100%
Austin	5	5	0	100%
Beaumont	2	2	0	100%
Brownwood	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bryan	0	2	0	100%
Childress	NA	NA	NA	NA
Corpus Christi	2	2	0	100%
Dallas	47	41	6	87%
El Paso	24	24	0	100%
Fort Worth	31	22	9	71%
Houston	46	40	6	87%
Laredo	4	4	0	100%
Lubbock	5	5	0	100%
Lufkin	1	1	0	100%
Odessa	NA	NA	NA	NA
Paris	NA	NA	NA	NA
Pharr	11	10	1	91%
San Angelo	1	1	0	100%
San Antonio	17	17	0	100%
Tyler	9	9	0	100%
Waco	6	6	0	100%
Wichita Falls	NA	NA	NA	NA
Yoakum	4	3	1	75%

*Projects listed individually in the STIP, not let, not revised.

Table 2. District LET Percentages Including Non-Listed Projects

FY 2016						
Districts	* Projects (total) listed Individually in TIP / STIP in FY 2016	Projects listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2016	Projects listed in TIP/STIP Not LET	Official FY 2016 Percentage	* Projects NOT listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2016	Total Percentage of Listed and Non-Listed Projects Let in FY 2016
Abilene	0	0	0	0%	103	100%
Amarillo	6	6	0	100%	72	100%
Atlanta	1	1	0	0%	89	100%
Austin	5	5	0	100%	146	100%
Beaumont	2	2	0	100%	98	100%
Brownwood	0	0	0	0%	38	100%
Bryan	2	2	0	100%	100	100%
Childress	0	0	0	0%	41	100%
Corpus Christi	2	2	0	100%	90	100%
Dallas	47	41	6	87%	181	97%
El Paso	24	24	0	100%	50	100%
Fort Worth	31	22	9	71%	104	93%
Houston	46	40	6	87%	131	97%
Laredo	4	4	0	100%	80	100%
Lubbock	5	5	0	100%	72	100%
Lufkin	1	1	0	100%	119	100%
Odessa	0	0	0	0%	102	100%
Paris	0	0	0	0%	134	100%
Pharr	11	10	1	91%	56	99%
San Angelo	1	1	0	100%	34	100%
San Antonio	17	17	0	100%	92	100%
Tyler	9	9	0	100%	72	100%
Waco	6	6	0	100%	95	100%
Wichita Falls	0	0	0	0%	123	100%
Yoakum	4	3	1	75%	110	99%

*Projects listed individually in the STIP, not let, not revised