

Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) - Rider 14g STIP Report

This report addresses HB 1 (Rider 14g) - State Transportation Improvement Program. For each fiscal year in the biennium, the Department of Transportation shall provide a report, with results statewide by district, on the percentage of projects listed in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that were let on or before the letting date provided in the STIP (reported by fiscal year).

The project data in this report came directly from TxDOT's 2008-2011 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and subsequent revisions to that program, made available to the public on TxDOT's website. TxDOT's Design-Construction Information System (DCIS) was used to generate comprehensive project lists for each TxDOT District to analyze and validate the information contained in this report.

The official percentages (i.e., number of projects let divided by number of projects listed and counted) calculated for the projects let to construction in the individual Districts highlighted in gray include projects listed in both the rural and MPO TIPs (including revisions) for fiscal year (FY) 2010. These percentages are shown in Table 1.

While staff analyzed all projects for FY 2010, only those projects listed in the official 2008-2011 STIP document with anticipated letting dates in FY 2010 were used to calculate the official percentages. The project totals, and official percentages highlighted in gray in Table 1 do not include:

- 1) Transit projects with multi-year contract dates and no specific letting dates;
- 2) Locally funded regionally significant projects not let by TxDOT;
- 3) Any project type in DCIS that did not list a letting date in FY 2010;
- 4) Projects canceled officially by revision;
- 5) Projects that were not listed individually (grouped projects); and
- 6) Any project not listed in the 2008-2011 STIP.

There are many projects let in FY 2010 in addition to those listed. These non-listed, or grouped (exempt by Federal Highway Administration definition and therefore not required to be listed in a TIP/STIP), projects were identified using reports from DCIS, and were analyzed, but not factored into the official percentages highlighted in gray. The exclusion of grouped projects in the percentage calculations resulted in much lower percentages for some Districts that would, in fact, have higher percentages if the grouped projects were included in the calculation.

Table 2 shows the number of non-listed/grouped projects in each district that were let in FY 2010, and a subsequent revised percentage calculated that includes grouped projects for each district. The totals and percentages in the last two columns are distinct in nature and included for informational purposes only.

Projects may be removed or delayed from a scheduled letting due to: environmental clearances, Federal reporting requirements, funding constraints, local agreements, or advertising requirements not met.

Table 1. District LET Percentages

District	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP (total) in FY 2010	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2010	FY 2010 Percentage	District	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP (total) in FY 2010	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2010	FY 2010 Percentage
Abilene	2	0	0%	Laredo	16	6	38%
Amarillo	5	1	20%	Lubbock	7	3	43%
Atlanta	15	2	13%	Lufkin	16	2	13%
Austin	26	7	27%	Odessa	11	7	64%
Beaumont	13	2	15%	Paris	10	3	30%
Brownwood	1	1	100%	Pharr	33	14	42%
Bryan	3	1	33%	San Angelo	13	7	54%
Childress	0	0	N/A	San Antonio	24	20	83%
Corpus Christi	12	3	25%	Tyler	8	1	13%
Dallas	104	51	49%	Waco	14	5	36%
El Paso	11	4	36%	Wichita Falls	1	0	0%
Fort Worth	67	27	40%	Yoakum	2	0	0%
Houston	113	55	49%				

Table 2. District LET Percentages Including Non-Listed Projects

	A	B	(B) ÷ (A) =%	C*	(B+C) ÷ (A+C) = %
District	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP (total) in FY 2010	Projects Listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2010	FY 2010 Percentage	Projects NOT Listed in TIP/STIP LET in FY 2010	Total Percentage of List and Non-Listed Projects LET in FY 2010
Abilene	2	0	0%	79	98%
Amarillo	5	1	20%	86	96%
Atlanta	15	2	13%	116	90%
Austin	26	7	27%	241	93%
Beaumont	13	2	15%	98	90%
Brownwood	1	1	100%	69	100%
Bryan	3	1	33%	92	98%
Childress	0	0	N/A	31	100%
Corpus Christi	12	3	25%	122	93%
Dallas	104	51	49%	132	78%
El Paso	11	4	36%	41	87%
Fort Worth	67	27	40%	133	80%
Houston	113	55	49%	162	79%
Laredo	16	6	38%	70	88%
Lubbock	7	3	43%	85	96%
Lufkin	16	2	13%	95	87%
Odessa	11	7	64%	42	92%
Paris	10	3	30%	97	93%
Pharr	33	14	42%	140	89%
San Angelo	13	7	54%	32	87%
San Antonio	24	20	83%	148	98%
Tyler	8	1	13%	110	94%
Waco	14	5	36%	87	91%
Wichita Falls	1	0	0%	73	99%
Yoakum	2	0	0%	111	98%

* Column C is the total of non-listed projects LET in FY 2010 by District.